## UKRI Future Leaders Fellowships Assessment Process Overview Proposals are checked for: Proposals are checked to ensure that they fit - Applicant and Host Organisation eligibility within remit of UKRI. - Costings Remit Examining - Other relevant statutory examinations- e.g. Animal usage, Ethics compliance etc. Pre-Meeting Applications are put into appropriate groupings Each proposal requires a minimum of 2, and normally at least 3 usable reviews in order to to form panels. Appropriate panel members progress to the Sift meeting. across the breadth of the UKRI remit are approached and invited to attend the meeting. Meeting UKRI uses various sources and works with the Preparation Reviewing Research Councils in order to obtain appropriate expert reviews. Panel members use the Peer Review Extranet to view proposals, reviews and applicant Applicants are invited to respond to the responses. reviews they have received once the application is fully reviewed. Meeting Chairs and Introducers meet as Panels to discuss each proposal. Each proposal will be scored. Sift Meeting ane Panels will make a recommendation of those applicants to prioritise to invite for interview, based on their relative position in the banding. Unsuccessful Successful or Unsuccessful? Successful Unsuccessful applicants are notified that they Sift panel outcomes are communicated to **Notification** shortlisted candidates, who are invited to have been unsuccessful. Rejects of success interview. No feedback is available from the sift panel. Interview preparation is finalised and panel members confirmed. Detailed interviews timetable prepared and applications grouped Meeting into appropriate panels. Preparation Each proposal to be allocated introducers to ask the candidate questions during the interview. Panels meet to conduct shortlisted candidates' interviews. nterviews A ranked list, based on performance at interview relative to the assessment/ scoring Interview criteria, is created of all the candidates. A funding line is agreed based on the budget Panels available and quality of proposals. The top candidates are recommended for funding. Recommendations will be ratified by a separate oversight body process Unsuccessful Successful or Unsuccessful? Successful Unsuccessful candidates receive notification **Notification** Candidates are notified of the success of their Rejects that informs them that they have been fellowship proposal via email. of success unsuccessful. Post-Interviews Proposals undergo checks prior to the award being formally offered. Revised documents or Feedback is sent to applicants via the Je-S email further information may be requested if the **Iterations** Feedback notification of outcome. original document/justification requires refinement. Any amendments to the proposal, such as cuts to requested costs, are applied here. **Approving** The Offer Letter for funding will be created, and feedback shared via the Je-S email notification of outcome. This is the final check before the proposal is funded. Outcomes, including bandings, are published to Announcement the FLF section of the UKRI website. Only grant Authorising reference numbers are published. Candidates are checked for active sanctions before authorisation.