# **Equality Impact Assessment Guidance and Template**

This document provides guidance when completing an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA). The EIA template can be found at the end of this document.

The Research Councils are committed to promoting equality and participation in all their activities, whether this is related to the work we do with our external stakeholders or whether this is related to our responsibilities as an employer. As public authorities we are also required to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations when making decisions and developing policies. To do this, it is necessary to understand the potential impacts of the range of internal and external activities on different groups of people.

## What is an Equality Impact assessment (EIA) and why do we need to complete one?

An equality impact assessment (EIA) is an evidence-based approach designed to help organisations ensure that their policies, practices, events and decision-making processes are fair and do not present barriers to participation or disadvantage any protected groups from participation. This covers both strategic and operational activities.

The term 'policy', as used throughout this document, covers the range of functions, activities and decisions for which your organisation is responsible, including for example, strategic decision-making, arranging strategy & funding panels, conferences, training courses and employment policies.

The EIA will help to ensure that:

- we understand the potential effects of the policy by assessing the impacts on different groups both external and internal
- any adverse impacts are identified and actions identified to remove or mitigate them
- decisions are transparent and based on evidence with clear reasoning.

# When might I need to complete an EIA?

Whether an EIA is needed or not will depend on the likely impact that the policy may have and relevance of the activity to equality. The EIA should be done when the need for a new policy or practice is identified, or when an existing one is reviewed. Depending on the type of policy or activity advice can be sought from either your HR team, your Equality, Diversity and Inclusion team, your Peer Review Policy team or their equivalents.

Ideally, an EIA should form part of any new policy, event or funding activity and be factored in as early as one would for other considerations such as risk, budget or health and safety.

# Who is responsible for completing and signing off the EIA?

Depending on the nature of the policy, event or funding activity, the responsibility of who should complete the assessment, who should be consulted, and who should sign off the EIA will vary. Ultimate responsibility on whether an EIA is required and the evaluation decision(s) made after completing the EIA lies with the Senior Responsible Officer, budget

holder, project board or the most relevant senior manager. Further advice is available from your Equality, Diversity & Inclusion contact.

#### What is discrimination?

Discrimination is where someone is treated less favourably or put at a disadvantage because of their protected characteristic. The different groups covered by the Equality Act are referred to as protected characteristics: disability, gender reassignment, marriage or civil partnership status, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sexual orientation, sex (gender), and age.

Discrimination is usually unintended and can often remain undetected until there is a complaint. Improving or promoting equality is when you identify ways to remove barriers and improve participation for people or groups with a protected characteristic.

# Building the evidence, making a judgement

In cases of new policies or management decisions there may be little evidence of the potential effect on protected characteristic groups. In such cases you should make a judgement that is as reliable as possible. Consultation will strengthen these value judgements by building a consensus that can avoid obvious prejudices or assumptions.

## Consultation

Consultation can add evidence to the assessment. Consultation is very important and key to demonstrating that organisations are meeting the equality duties, but it also needs to be proportionate and relevant. Considering the degree and range of consultation will safeguard against 'groupthink' by involving a diverse range of consultees. These are the key considerations, to avoid over-consultation on a small policy or practice and underconsultation on a significant policy or an activity that has the potential to create barriers to participation.

#### **Provisional Assessment**

At the initial stages, you may not have all the evidence you need so you can conduct a provisional assessment. Where a provisional assessment has been carried out, there must be plans to gather the required data so that a full assessment can be completed after a reasonable time. The scale of these plans should be proportionate to the activity at hand. When there is enough evidence a full impact assessment should be prepared. Only one EIA should be created for each policy, as more evidence becomes available the provisional assessment should be built upon.

#### **Valuing Differences**

EIAs are about making comparisons between groups of employees, service users or stakeholders to identify differences in their needs and/or requirements. If the difference is disproportionate, then the policy may have a detrimental impact on some and not others.

'You are looking for bias that can occur when there are significant differences (disproportionate difference) between groups of people in the way a policy or practice has impacted on them, asking the question "Why?" and investigating further'. <sup>1</sup>

## **Evaluation Decision**

There are four options open to you:

- 1. No barriers or impact identified, therefore activity will **proceed**.
- 2. You can decide to **stop** the policy or practice at some point because the evidence shows bias towards one or more groups
- 3. You can **adapt or change** the policy in a way which you think will eliminate the bias, or
- 4. Barriers and impact identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the aim of the policy or practice (e.g. in **extreme cases** or where **positive action** is taken). Therefore you are going to **proceed with caution** with this policy or practice knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision.

In most cases, where disproportionate disadvantage is found by carrying out EIAs, policies and practices are usually changed or adapted. In these cases, or when a change has been justified you should consider making a record on the project risk register.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.acas.org.uk/media/pdf/s/n/Acas managers guide to equality assessments.pdf

# **Equality Impact Assessment**

Question	Response	
1. Name of policy/funding activity/event	The funding activity is a call for interdisciplinary	
being assessed	research and innovation consortia, as part of the	
	Strategic Priorities Fund – Clean Air (SPF CA)	
	Programme.	
2. Summary of aims and objectives of the	The SPF CA Programme is inviting proposals for	
policy/funding activity/event	interdisciplinary research and innovation consortia	
	under the second wave of the Programme. Up to	
	£10m is available to fund approximately 3 - 4	
	consortia which will deliver new knowledge that	
	provides critical foresight on emerging air pollution	
	challenges and associated health risks and impacts;	
	and enable an increased understanding and	
	quantification of human behavioural change and	
	practices in order to develop and assess interventions	
	that limit harmful exposure to mitigate negative	
	health impacts individuals most at risk.	
	There will be a two-stage process: Outline and Full	
	proposals. The assessment process involves an	
	interdisciplinary assessment panel at the outline	
	stage. Following the Outline assessment phase,	
	successful applicants will be invited to submit full	
	proposals which will be assessed at by an expert	
	interdisciplinary panel meeting in June 2021.	
	The delivery of this funding call is supported by a	
	number of comms activities, including publishing a	
	recorded presentation outlining the call, associated	
	slides and an FAQs document.	
3. What involvement and consultation	The funding opportunity fits within a suite of	
has been done in relation to this	investments as part of the SPF Clean Air	
policy? (e.g. with relevant groups and	programme.	
stakeholders)	<ul> <li>The scope of the call was developed through open</li> </ul>	
	consultation with two scoping workshops. The	
	workshops were attended by representatives	
	from academia, policy, local government, Health,	
	industry Attendance at these workshops was	
	decided by the funders and individuals were	
	invited to register their expression of interest to	
	attend through an online form.	
	The Clean Air Steering Committee provided the	
	Clean Air programme board with a strategic steer	
	for the funding opportunity from the information	
	gathered through open consultation.	
	The final funding opportunity scope and	
	announcement of opportunity was designed by	
	the Clean Air programme board consisting of	
	representatives from across the funding partners.	
	NERC are leading this funding opportunity on	
	behalf of the funders and as such, NERC processes	
	were followed for approval of the final	
	announcement of opportunity text.	

4. Who is affected by the policy/funding	Short-term:	
activity/event?	<ul> <li>External Panel members, peer reviewers and UKRI employees taking part in the assessment process.</li> <li>Applicants to the call, including supporting HEI or PSRE organisations, and eventual successful awardees.</li> </ul>	
	Long-term:	
	<ul> <li>The UK research and innovation clean air community (through development of new interdisciplinary communities; shared knowledge, evidence; appropriate tools)</li> <li>The public (through innovation in policy, regulation and products and services resulting in improved public health)</li> <li>The business community (through growth in clean air industry sector in UK and export opportunities).</li> </ul>	
5. What are the arrangements for	The impact monitoring and review plan is currently	
monitoring and reviewing the actual	under development and will be considered by	
impact of the policy/funding activity/event?	Programme Board.	
	Research outcomes will be collected through	
	Researchfish and additional data through an annual data return.	

Protected Characteristic Group	Is there a potential for positive or negative impact?	Please explain and give examples of any evidence/data used	Action to address negative impact (e.g. adjustment to the policy)
Disability	Potentially negative / positive	<ol> <li>(Panel) potential limited access to funding meetings for those with mobility issues.</li> <li>(Panel/comms) potential difficulties understanding discussion for those with hearing/visual impairment.</li> <li>(Panel/comms) potential difficulties with concentration for attendees with neuro-disabilities may experience.</li> <li>(Award focus) the announcement of opportunity highlights the need to prioritise air quality interventions for those deemed "at risk" (e.g.</li> </ol>	All applicants and panel members will be asked to highlight any personal circumstances that will need additional support.  1. Meeting will be held online via Zoom (limiting venue-based accessibility issues.)  2. Closed captioning (cc) can be arranged for hearing impaired panel members. Additional support can be provided to visually impaired panel members – including appropriate use of colour/text size in all guidance documents/ presentations.  3. NERC will ensure that
		including individuals and groups at vulnerable stages of the life course and/or with established diseases and/or those disadvantaged	plenty of breaks are built into the agenda. Supporting communications will be recorded and/or shared online, with capacity to

			by inequalities.)	sha	are modified versions for
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ecific needs at request
				(cc	/changed colour/text size).
Gender	No known	-		-	
reassignment*	negative impact				
Marriage or civil	No known	_		_	
partnership	negative impact				
Pregnancy and	Potentially	1.	(Panel) potential difficulties	1.	Meeting will be held
maternity	negative		attending funding meeting.		online via Zoom (limiting
		2.	(Panel) potential difficulties		venue-based accessibility
			in managing early childcare		issues.)
			responsibilities during	2.	NERC will ensure that
			meeting.		plenty of breaks are built
					into the agenda, and can
					ensure that panel member
					participation is scheduled
					according to childcare responsibilities.
Race	No known				responsibilities.
Nace	negative impact*				
Religion or belief		_		Car	re has been taken to ensure
	negative impact*				it key call dates do not fall
					cultural and religious
					lidays where possible.
Sexual	No known	-		-	
orientation	negative impact*				
Sex (gender)	No known	-		-	
	negative impact*				
Age	No known	-		-	
	negative impact*				
Other (caring	Potentially			1.	NERC will ensure that
responsibilities)	negative				plenty of breaks are built
					into the agenda, and can
					ensure that panel member
					participation is scheduled
					according to caring
					responsibilities.

<sup>\*</sup>In addition to the above, steps will be taken throughout the funding process to minimise discriminatory practices. This includes diversity in review:

- NERC will endeavour to make sure that peer review assessment and interview panels are diverse (across a number of protected characteristics).
- Care has been taken to ensure that the language used within the call document is inclusive.

# **Evaluation:**

Question	Explanation	/ justification	
Is it possible the proposed policy or activity or change in policy or activity could discriminate or unfairly disadvantage people?	There is limited evidence to show that this call could discriminate or unfairly disadvantage people, given mitigating actions taken above.		
Final Decision:	Tick the relevant box	Include any explanation / justification required	
No barriers identified, therefore activity will <b>proceed</b> .			
2. You can decide to <b>stop</b> the policy or practice at some point because the data shows bias towards one or more groups			
3. You can <b>adapt or change</b> the policy in a way which you think will eliminate the bias			
4. Barriers and impact identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the aim of the policy or practice (e.g. in extreme cases or where positive action is taken). Therefore you are going to proceed with caution with this policy or practice knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision.	X	This activity will include mitigating actions to attempt to eliminate bias.	

Will this EIA be published* Yes/Not required (*EIA's should be published alongside relevant funding activities e.g. calls and events:	Yes
Date completed:	February 2020
Review date (if applicable):	

# Change log

Name	Date	Version	Change
	When published	1	