

COMPLIANCE WITH THE DATA PROTECTION ACT 1998

In accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998, the personal data provided on this form will be processed by EPSRC, and may be held on computerised database and/or manual files. Further details may be found in the **guidance notes**

Programme Grants Peer Review

EPSRC Reference:

Document Status:

Applicant Details

Applicant		Organisation	
-----------	--	--------------	--

Title of Research Project

Review Information

Response Due Date		Reviewer Reference:	
-------------------	--	---------------------	--

Quality

Primary criterion. Please comment on the degree of research excellence of the proposal, making reference to: (1) The novelty, relationship to the context, timeliness and relevance to identified stakeholders; (2) The ambition, adventure, transformative aspects or potential outcomes; (3) The suitability of the proposed methodology and the appropriateness of the approach to achieving impact; (4) The overall vision of the research programme.

Additionality

Primary criterion. Please comment on the added value delivered through the proposal, making reference to: (1) Added value and need for supporting this research as a coherent programme of inter-related research activities and not a number of smaller research grants; (2) Need for the added flexibility of resources and the longer term nature of the grant to achieve the proposed research goals.

Importance

Secondary major criterion. Comment on the national importance of the research. How it:

- (1) Contributes to/helps maintain the health of other disciplines, contributes to addressing key UK societal challenges and/or contributes to future UK economic success and development of emerging industry(s);*
- (2) Meets national needs by establishing/maintaining a unique world leading activity;*
- (3) Complements other UK research funded in the area, including any relationship to the EPSRC portfolio.*

Applicant and Partnerships

Secondary criterion. Please comment on the applicant's ability to deliver the proposed project, making reference to:

(1) Appropriateness of the track record and international benchmarking of the applicant(s); (2) Balance of skills of the project team, including collaborators; (3) Development and promotion of the careers of all its team members, including investigators, research assistants, technicians and aligned students; (4) Ability of the PI and team to lead/manage a large, complex investment with sufficient support, infrastructure and resources for the day-to-day running of the Programme Grant.

Resources and Management

Secondary Criterion. Please comment on the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified, making reference to: (1) Effectiveness of the proposed planning and management; (2) Appropriateness of the requested resources; (3) Suitability of proposed strategy for flexible allocation of resources and use of independent advisory board.

Advocacy

Secondary criterion. Please comment on the degree of advocacy for the engineering and physical sciences proposed.

Overall Assessment

Please summarise your view of this proposal

My judgement is that:

- 1) This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed*
- 2) This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria*
- 3) This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses*
- 4) This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses*
- 5) This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria*
- 6) This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria*

1	2	3	4	5	6
---	---	---	---	---	---

My confidence level in assessing this is:

Low	Medium	High
-----	--------	------

Reviewer Expertise

Please indicate your areas of expertise that are relevant to your assessment. Take care not to reveal your identity to the applicant.