

Compliance with the UK data protection legislation and the EU General Data Protection Regulations 2016/679 (GDPR)

In accordance with UK data protection legislation and the EU General Data Protection Regulations 2016/679 (GDPR), the personal data provided on this form will be processed by EPSRC, as part of UKRI, and may be held on computerised databases and/or manual files. Further details can be found in the **guidance notes** and on the UK Research and Innovation Privacy Notice (<https://www.ukri.org/privacy-notice/>).

Network Peer Review

EPSRC Reference:

Document Status:

none

Applicant Details

Applicant		Organisation	
-----------	--	--------------	--

Title of Research Project

Review Information

Response Due Date		Reviewer Reference:	
-------------------	--	---------------------	--

Quality

Primary criterion. Please comment on the degree of research excellence of the proposal, making reference to: (1) The novelty, relationship to the context, timeliness and relevance to identified stakeholders; (2) The ambition, adventure, transformative aspects or potential outcomes; (3) The suitability of the proposed methodology and the appropriateness of the approach to achieving impact. (For multi-disciplinary proposals please state which aspects of the proposal you feel qualified to assess.)

Importance

Secondary major criterion. Comment on the national importance of the research. How it:
(1) Contributes to/helps maintain the health of other disciplines, contributes to addressing key UK societal challenges and/or contributes to future UK economic success and development of emerging industry(s);
(2) Meets national needs by establishing/maintaining a unique world leading activity;
(3) Complements other UK research funded in the area, including any relationship to the EPSRC portfolio.

Applicant and Partnerships

Secondary criterion. Please comment on the applicant's ability to deliver the proposed project, making reference to: (1)

Appropriateness of the track record of the applicant(s); (2) Balance of skills of the project team, including collaborators.

Resources and Management

Secondary Criterion.

Please comment on the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified, making reference to: (1) Any equipment requested, or the viability of the arrangements described to access equipment needed for this project, and particularly on any university or third-party contribution; (2) Any resources requested for activities to either increase impact, for public engagement or to support responsible innovation.

Call Specific Criteria

Secondary Criterion. What is the added value that this network will enable that would not be possible otherwise? If appropriate, has the proposal addressed plans for achieving self-sufficiency beyond the EPSRC support?

Overall Assessment

Please summarise your view of this proposal

My judgement is that:

- 1) This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed
- 2) This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria
- 3) This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses
- 4) This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses
- 5) This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria
- 6) This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria

My confidence level in assessing this is:

1	2	3	4	5	6
---	---	---	---	---	---

Low	Medium	High
-----	--------	------

Reviewer Expertise

Please indicate your areas of expertise that are relevant to your assessment. Take care not to reveal your identity to the applicant.