Equality Impact Assessment

	Question	Response
1.	Name of policy/funding activity/event being assessed	Academic advisor/ senior academic advisor calls for FDS and DF projects
2.	Summary of aims and objectives of the policy/funding activity/event	Call to recruit an academic to the project teams for FDS and DF. *please see person specification documents for further information if required.
3.	What involvement and consultation has been done in relation to this policy? (e.g., with relevant groups and stakeholders)	UKDS MTR community consultation over~ 2000 full community responses and a further ~1000 partially completed via survey monkey looking at community needs around data, data services and data access. UKDS MTR Interviews with key community members consultation with existing investments and survey organisations. Meetings with the DF advisory groups.
4.	Who is affected by the policy/funding activity/event?	The FDS and DF teams will be positively affected by the recruitment to these posts as it will give an academic viewpoint and help to ensure that the projects and delivering against their timelines and meeting the strategic and research needs of the wider ESRC community.
5.	What are the arrangements for monitoring and reviewing the actual impact of the policy/funding activity/event?	Review of progress, the publication of papers and personal research, regular meetings and presentations.

Protected	Is there a potential	Please explain and	Action to address
Characteristic Group	for positive or	give examples of any	negative impact (e.g.,
	negative impact?	evidence/data used	adjustment to the policy)
Disability (both			
mental and physical)	Potential negative	Also see above,	Also see above, under
		under General	General Equality and
		Equality and	Diversity Considerations.
		Diversity	
		Considerations.	Solicit information from
			panel meeting
		Je-S does not	participants (in
		currently comply	confidence) about any
		with disability	additional requirements
		accessibility	they may have in order
		schemes. This will be	to fully participate.
		picked up by The	
		Funding Service.	Ensure that this virtual
			meeting is an inclusive

Applicants should seek support from their own institution's research support office.

Panel meeting attendees with physical disabilities may have difficulties if meeting venues cannot cater for their needs

Panel meeting attendees with neuro-disabilities may experience difficulties with concentration and focus during panel assessments

environment for participants. Depending on the needs identified, considerations might include:

- This is a virtual meeting.
- Zoom meeting closed captions used for the hearing impaired.
- lighting,
 alternative
 document
 formatting and
 potential use of
 screen readers
 for the visually
 impaired.
- Provision of documents in sans-serif, dyslexia-friendly fonts; and dyslexia-friendly formats.
- Avoiding colours, lighting etc that may trigger migraines, epilepsy.
- Ensuring that plenty of breaks are built into the agenda.
- T&S for carers or support workers to attend alongside the participant, where this is required and not

			covered by the Individual's own employment contract. This will be considered on a case-by-case basis Where there are constraints consider opportunities for participants to
Gender reassignment	Potential negative	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Trans people may be absent from work because of transition and UKRI records may show the wrong gender.	different way (via video-link, tele-conference for instance). Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. UKRI terms and conditions are flexible in nature and absence as a result of medical treatment. We would expect that absence related to transition would be covered by the Research Organisation's sick policy and strongly encourage ROs to treat absence relating to transition like any other sick absence.
			Consideration needs to be given at UKRI level as to how records (including Gateway to Research and other communications materials) might be adjusted.

Marriage or civil partnership	Probably not.		
Pregnancy and maternity	Potential negative	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations.	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Provision for parental leave (including maternity leave, paternity leave and leave related to surrogacy and adoption) are covered in the UKRI terms and conditions. We should ensure the use of gender-neutral language – parental
			leave, irrespective of sexual orientation. The costs of additional childcare for grantholders, beyond that required to meet the normal contracted requirements of the job, and that are directly related to the project, may be requested as a directly incurred cost if the institutional policy is to reimburse them. However, childcare costs associated with normal
			working patterns may not be sought. facilities for breastfeeding/expressing mothers if necessarythis should be possible as it is a virtual meeting. Adequate breaks will be

			provided to support
			nursing mothers as
			required.
			required.
			Reimbursement of
			additional childcare costs
			if required and flexibility
			around meeting timings
			to allow for any caring
			responsibilities we are
			made aware of on a
			case-by-case basis.
Race (including	Potential negative	See above, under	See above, under
ethnicity)		General Equality and	General Equality and
		Diversity	Diversity Considerations
		Considerations.	(particularly in relation
			to panel composition
			and mitigations against
			unconscious bias)
Religion or belief	Potential negative	See above, under	Also see above, under
		General Equality and	General Equality and
		Diversity	Diversity Considerations
		Considerations.	(particularly in relation
			to panel composition
		There could be	and mitigations against
		potential	unconscious bias)
		discrimination	
		because it is known	Ensure that religious
		that somebody	observances are
		(either a panel	considered when
		member, a research	planning panel meetings.
		applicant or research	Considerations might
		participants) has a	include:
		particular faith or	 We are not
		belief.	Scheduling
			meetings during
			religious festivals
			 Accommodating
			dietary
			restrictions is not
			a concern as the
			a concern as the panels will be

			 Not scheduling meetings such that they would require attendance late on Friday evenings (Jewish Sabbath) or on Fridays (Friday prayer, Islam) Allowing prayer breaks if requested
Sexual orientation	Potential negative	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations.	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations.
Sex (gender)	Potential negative	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Use of language can present a barrier to participation and it may be perceived that those with caring responsibilities are disadvantaged. Panel members may be disadvantaged and unable to attend meetings if they have caring responsibilities	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Ensure use of genderneutral language in call specification, guidance, etc. Ensure that the panel has balanced gender representation (aim for at worst 60:40 split) The meeting will be virtual, so there is no need for travel, overnight stays and we can be flexible around timing and caring/other needs. Reimbursement of additional childcare costs if the meeting participant is otherwise unable to attend (this

			could include childcare at
			the venue, additional
			hours of childcare in the
			child's usual setting or paying for a relative to
			1
			travel to care for school
-			age children)
Age	Potential negative or	Also see above,	Also see above, under
	positive depending	under General	General Equality and
	on scheme eligibility	Equality and	Diversity Considerations.
	requirements	Diversity	
		Considerations.	Track record is not an
			explicit criterion, given
		Early career	likely relationship to
		researchers* or mid-	career stage and hence
		career researchers	(indirectly) age. Panel
		applying for the	members are briefed to
		Academic advisor	make clear that they
		role may be	should be assessing the
		disadvantaged as	application in front of
		they don't have the	them and not reading
		same track record to	between the lines. They
		draw on as an	should assess an
		experienced	individual's capability to
		researcher.	deliver their proposed
			research.
		(*It is assumed that	
		early career	Use of a variety of
		researchers are	different communication
		generally younger	strategies including
		than their more	social media to ensure
		experienced peers,	that our messages reach
			_
		although this by no	the widest possible
		means always the	target audience.
		case. Therefore, this	
		point has been	
		included under	
		'age').	
Other characteristics	Potential negative.		ROs need to be clear of
not protected under	ESRC is committed		their responsibilities/
the Equality Act	to go above and		duty of care. The
	beyond bare		Research funding guide
	compliance with		states:
	Equalities legislation		'The Research
	to ensure that our		Organisation is
	•	1	•

processes are as fair	responsible for
and equitable as	compliance with the
they can be. For	terms of the Equality Act
instance, we wish to	2010 including any
ensure that	subsequent amendments
potential applicants	introduced while work is
and stakeholders are	in progress; and for
not disadvantaged	ensuring that the
by geography,	expectations set out in
institutional status	the RCUK statement of
etc.	expectations for equality
	and diversity are met'.
	Call specifications should
	draw attention to ESRC's
	aspirations around ED&I.
	Applicants should be
	alerted to the fact that if
	they wish to participate
	in an ESRC-led activity
	but find that they are
	barred from doing so
	because of ED&I
	considerations they
	should contact the office
	for advice.

Evaluation:

Qu	estion	Explanation	Explanation / justification	
Is it possible the proposed policy or activity or change in policy or activity could discriminate or unfairly disadvantage people?		See the potential negative impacts outlined above.		
Fin	nal Decision:	Tick the relevant box	Include any explanation / justification required	
1.	No barriers identified; therefore, activity will proceed.			
2.	You can decide to stop the policy or practice at some point because the			

	data shows bias towards one or more groups		
3.	You can adapt or change the policy in a way which you think will eliminate the bias	✓	See the mitigations outlined above.
4.	Barriers and impact identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the aim of the policy or practice (e.g., in extreme cases or where positive action is taken). Therefore, you are going to proceed with caution with this policy or practice knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision.		

Will this EIA be published* Yes/Not required	Yes
(*EIA's should be published alongside relevant	
funding activities e.g., calls and events:	
Date completed:	14/01/22
Review date (if applicable):	N/A one off call

Note: Excessive use of repeated line breaks can make a document inaccessible for users of assistive technologies. To ensure inclusion, please ensure a new table row is inserted for each point if there is more than one consideration or impact for each group (please ensure you populate the "protected characteristic group" column e.g., "disability continued"); rather than using the same row for multiple points with repeated line breaks to separate points.

Evaluation:

Question	Explanation / justification
Is it possible the proposed policy or activity or change in policy or activity could discriminate or unfairly disadvantage people?	It is possible; however, we are taking clear steps towards ensuring that the call and panel processes are as inclusive as possible.

Qu	estion	Explanation	/ justification
Final Decision:		Tick the relevant box	Include any explanation / justification required
5.	No barriers identified; therefore, activity will proceed .		
6.	You can decide to stop the policy or practice at some point because the data shows bias towards one or more groups	X	We have autonomy over this process and will closely monitor for any indications of bias, unconscious bias, introduction of information or re reviewing. We will use zoom to hold the panels and ensure that considerations outlined above are followed. We will fully brief panel members and have clear expectations. We will have to use Siebel for our call applications, but we can have a flexible approach (E.g., applications by email manually uploaded etc) if the applicant cannot access Siebel/Je-S due to E, D & I considerations outlined above. We will work in a flexible and agaile way to support applicants, panellists and staff across the office supporting on this activity.
7.	You can adapt or change the policy in a way which you think will eliminate the bias		
8.	Barriers and impact identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the aim of the policy or practice (e.g., in extreme cases or where positive action is taken). Therefore, you are going to proceed with caution with this policy or practice knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision.		

Will this EIA be published* *EIAs should be published alongside relevant funding activities e.g., calls and events.	Yes/not required
Date completed:	14/01/22
End date of activity: (if applicable)	09/05/22
Review date (if applicable):	N/A

Annex 1: Examples of recently completed EIA templates

Council	Activity
EPSRC	Inclusion Matters Call
EPSRC	New Investigator Awards
EPSRC	Policy change: Limit to the number of applications at a standard panel
BBSRC	BBSRC Future Leader Fellowships (FLF) Scheme
BBSRC	BBSRC/STFC/Innovate UK Biofilms programme