# **Equality Impact Assessment Guidance - 2022/2023 Large Grants Outline Call**

The Research Councils are committed to promoting equality and participation in all their activities, whether this is related to the work we do with our external stakeholders or whether this is related to our responsibilities as an employer. As public authorities we are also required to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations when making decisions and developing policies. To do this, it is necessary to understand the potential impacts of the range of internal and external activities on different groups of people.

# What is an Equality Impact assessment (EIA) and why do we need to complete one?

An equality impact assessment (EIA) is an evidence-based approach designed to help organisations ensure that their policies, practices, events and decision-making processes are fair and do not present barriers to participation or disadvantage any protected groups from participation. This covers both strategic and operational activities.

The term 'policy', as used throughout this document, covers the range of functions, activities and decisions for which your organisation is responsible, including for example, strategic decision-making, arranging strategy & funding panels, conferences, training courses and employment policies.

The EIA will help to ensure that:

- we understand the potential effects of the policy by assessing the impacts on different groups both external and internal
- any adverse impacts are identified and actions identified to remove or mitigate them
- decisions are transparent and based on evidence with clear reasoning.

# When might I need to complete an EIA?

Whether an EIA is needed or not will depend on the likely impact that the policy may have and relevance of the activity to equality. The EIA should be done when the need for a new policy or practice is identified, or when an existing one is reviewed. Depending on the type of policy or activity advice can be sought from either your HR team, your Equality, Diversity and Inclusion team, your Peer Review Policy team or their equivalents.

# Ideally, an EIA should form part of any new policy, event or funding activity and be factored in as early as one would for other considerations such as risk, budget or health and safety.

# Who is responsible for completing and signing off the EIA?

Depending on the nature of the policy, event or funding activity, the responsibility of who should complete the assessment, who should be consulted, and who should sign off the EIA will vary. Ultimate responsibility on whether an EIA is required and the evaluation decision(s) made after completing the EIA lies with the Senior Responsible Officer, budget holder, project board or the most relevant senior manager. Further advice is available from your Equality, Diversity & Inclusion contact.

#### What is discrimination?

Discrimination is where someone is treated less favourably or put at a disadvantage because of their protected characteristic. The different groups covered by the Equality Act are referred to as protected characteristics: disability, gender reassignment, marriage or civil partnership status, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sexual orientation, sex (gender), and age.

Discrimination is usually unintended and can often remain undetected until there is a complaint. Improving or promoting equality is when you identify ways to remove barriers and improve participation for people or groups with a protected characteristic.

#### Building the evidence, making a judgement

In cases of new policies or management decisions there may be little evidence of the potential effect on protected characteristic groups. In such cases you should make a judgement that is as reliable as possible. Consultation will strengthen these value judgements by building a consensus that can avoid obvious prejudices or assumptions.

#### Consultation

Consultation can add evidence to the assessment. Consultation is very important and key to demonstrating that organisations are meeting the equality duties, but it also needs to be proportionate and relevant. Considering the degree and range of consultation will safe-guard against 'groupthink' by involving a diverse range of consultees. These are the key considerations, to avoid over-consultation on a small policy or practice and under-consultation on a significant policy or an activity that has the potential to create barriers to participation.

#### **Provisional Assessment**

At the initial stages, you may not have all the evidence you need so you can conduct a provisional assessment. Where a provisional assessment has been carried out, there must be plans to gather the required data so that a full assessment can be completed after a reasonable time. The scale of these plans should be proportionate to the activity at hand. When there is enough evidence a full impact assessment should be prepared. Only one EIA should be created for each policy, as more evidence becomes available the provisional assessment should be built upon.

#### Valuing Differences

EIAs are about making comparisons between groups of employees, service users or stakeholders to identify differences in their needs and/or requirements. If the difference is disproportionate, then the policy may have a detrimental impact on some and not others.

'You are looking for bias that can occur when there are significant differences (disproportionate difference) between groups of people in the way a policy or practice has impacted on them, asking the question "Why?" and investigating further'. <sup>1</sup>

# **Evaluation Decision**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.acas.org.uk/media/pdf/s/n/Acas managers guide to equality assessments.pdf

There are four options open to you:

- 1. No barriers or impact identified, therefore activity will **proceed**.
- 2. You can decide to **stop** the policy or practice at some point because the evidence shows bias towards one or more groups
- 3. You can **adapt or change** the policy in a way which you think will eliminate the bias, or
- 4. Barriers and impact identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the aim of the policy or practice (e.g. in **extreme cases** or where **positive action** is taken). Therefore you are going to **proceed with caution** with this policy or practice knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision.

In most cases, where disproportionate disadvantage is found by carrying out EIAs, policies and practices are usually changed or adapted. In these cases, or when a change has been justified you should consider making a record on the project risk register.

Examples of recently completed EIA templates can be found in annex 1.

Please send completed EIAs to EDI@esrc.ukri.org

#### Question Response 1. Name of policy/funding activity/event 2022/2023 Large Grants Outline Call being assessed 2. Summary of aims and objectives of The ultimate purpose of the Large Grants Call is to the policy/funding activity/event fund ambitious research grantswith the potential to generate significant economic or societal impact. Similar to the research grants open call, the Large Grants Call seeks to fund: standard research projects; • large-scale surveys, infrastructure projects, and; methodological developments in any area of the social sciences. The call actively encourages interdisciplinarity both within and beyond the social sciences. Grants will also: • undertake a programme of ambitious and novel research • show strong commitment for the career development of researchers (particularly at early-career stage) make significant contributions to scientific, economic or social impact involve potential users of research take advantage of international collaborative and/or comparative opportunities This outline call will identify a number of competititve proposals which will be invited to submit full research proposals. These full proposals will then be further assessed, with 4-5 proposals recommended for funding. 3. What involvement and consultation We have consulted various UKRI staff who will help has been done in relation to this inform the design of the call, as well as other internal scoping work. We have leveraged **policy?** (e.g. with relevant groups and stakeholders) information and process from previous calls in order to maintain best practise whilst commissioning this call. We also viewed other EDI's to ensure ours was consistent with policies used on other calls and spoke to colleagues who have run successful and inclusive programmes. In addition, we consulted the EDI group to ensure our assessment was rigorous and thorough.

# **Equality Impact Assessment**

	Question	Response
4.	Who is affected by the policy/funding activity/event?	Applicants to the 2022/2023 Large Grants Outline Call.
		Commissioning Panel Members for the 2022/2023 Large Grants Outline Call.
		ESRC staff working on and attending meetings for 2022/2023 Large Grants Outline Call.
5.	What are the arrangements for monitoring and reviewing the actual impact of the policy/funding activity/event?	EDI characteristics among successful/unsuccessful applicants will be assessed as part of the review process at the end of the call.
		In addition, EDI considerations will be included in the feedback form for panel members after the meeting.

# **GENERAL EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY CONSIDERATIONS**

ESRC's research commissioning processes are designed with fairness in mind.

# Eligibility and criteria

• The 2022/2023 Large Grants Outline Call is open to all eligible research organisations (RO). Applicants are eligible for funding whether or not they are established members of a recognised RO, but applicants who are not an established member of a recognised RO must be accommodated by the RO and provided with appropriate facilities to carry out the research.

# **Standard Grant Terms and Conditions:**

- UKRI standard Grant Terms and Conditions comply with UK equality legislation and include provisions designed to mitigate against potential negative impacts (e.g. sick pay, parental and adoption leave, the possibility of part-time and flexible working, and grant extensions).
- Research Organisations are subject to equality legislation and have a duty to comply with it. RGC 8 states that 'The Research Organisation must assume full responsibility for staff funded from the grant and, in consequence, accept all duties owed to and responsibilities for these staff, including, without limitation, their terms and conditions of employment and their training and supervision, arising from the employer/employee relationship.' Universities are therefore required to make reasonable adjustments as required to support their staff.

# **Panel recruitment:**

- We will aim to ensure that the composition of the commissioning panel is diverse, with at least a 60:40 gender balance.
- We will ensure (if possible) that the chair and vice chair of the commissioning panel are not the same gender.
- Whilst panel members are appointed, first and foremost, based on expertise, we will aim to appoint a diverse panel membership. Final decisions take into account trying to balance the panels by gender and geography and seek to ensure a diversity of

career stage and institutions. We will only make recruitment decisions which compromise diversity when it is objectively justified by the necessity to ensure the required breadth of subject expertise with high quality candidates.

• A tool has been developed which allows ESRC staff to assess the EDI characteristics of commissioning panels, and this will be used when appointing panels.

# Process

- All panel members will receive guidance which covers issues including fairness, objectivity and unconscious bias.
- It is the role of panel members to agree final scores for each proposal. Panel members will be briefed on unconscious bias and encouraged to feel empowered to constructively challenge potential bias where they identify it. The Panel Chairs and Panel Secretaries play a particularly important role in this respect. An implementation intention statement will be read out at the beginning of the commissioning panel meeting which sets the tone for discussions and requires that panel members pay close attention to the scoring criteria and definitions.

Protected Characteristic Group	Is there a potential for positive or negative impact?	Please explain and give examples of any evidence/data used	Action to address negative impact (e.g. adjustment to the policy)
Disability	Potential negative	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Je-S does not currently comply with disability accessibility schemes. Applicants should seek support from their own institution's research support office. Panel meeting attendees with physical disabilities may have difficulties with using their computer facilities/hardware. Panel meeting attendees with neuro-disabilities may experience difficulties with concentration and focus during panel assessments.	<ul> <li>Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations.</li> <li>Solicit information from panel meeting participants (in confidence) about any additional requirements they may have to fully participate.</li> <li>Ensure that virtual meeting offers an accessible and inclusive environment for participants. Depending on the needs identified, considerations might include: <ul> <li>As the meeting is taking place in an online platform, then closed captions will be used for the hearing impaired</li> <li>Provision of documents in sans- serif, dyslexia- friendly fonts; and dyslexia-friendly formats;</li> <li>Avoiding colours, lighting etc that may trigger migraines, epilepsy;</li> <li>Ensuring that plenty of breaks are built into the agenda;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Gender reassignment	Potential negative	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations.	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. UKRI terms and conditions are flexible in nature and absence as a

Protected	Is there a potential	Please explain and	Action to address negative
Characteristic Group	for positive or negative impact?	give examples of any evidence/data used	impact (e.g. adjustment to the policy)
		Trans people may be absent from work as a consequence of transition and UKRI records may show the wrong gender.	result of medical treatment. We would expect that absence related to transition would be covered by the Research Organisation's sick policy and strongly encourage ROs to treat absence relating to transition like any other sick absence. Consideration needs to be given at UKRI level as
			to how records (including Gateway to Research and other communications materials) might be adjusted.
Marriage or civil partnership	Probably not.	This is unlikely to have an effect on the inclusion of those who are married or in civil partnerships.	
Pregnancy and maternity	Potential negative	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations.	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Provision for parental leave (including maternity leave, paternity leave and leave related to surrogacy and adoption) are covered in the UKRI terms and conditions. We should ensure the use of gender neutral language – parental leave, irrespective of sexual orientation.

Protected	Is there a potential	Please explain and	Action to address negative
Characteristic Group	for positive or	give examples of any	impact (e.g. adjustment to
•	negative impact?	evidence/data used	the policy)
			The costs of additional
			childcare for grant-
			holders, beyond that
			required to meet the
			normal contracted
			requirements of the job,
			and that are directly
			related to the project,
			may be requested as a
			directly incurred cost if
			the institutional policy is
			to reimburse them.
			However, childcare costs
			associated with normal
			working patterns may
			not be sought.
			Providing adequate
			breaks that support
			breastfeeding/expressing
			mothers
			mothers
Race	Potential negative	See above, under	See above, under
		General Equality	General Equality and
		and Diversity	Diversity Considerations
		Considerations.	(particularly in relation
			to panel composition
		There may be	and mitigations against
		potential for	unconscious bias).
		bullying and	
		harassment to	
		occur during the	
		event, particularly if	
		more interactive	
		breakout sessions	
		are included.	
Religion or belief	Potential negative	See above, under	Also see above, under
		General Equality	General Equality and
		and Diversity	Diversity Considerations
		Considerations.	(particularly in relation
			to panel composition
		There could be	and mitigations against
		potential	unconscious bias)
		discrimination	

Protected	Is there a potential	Please explain and	Action to address negative
Characteristic Group	for positive or negative impact?	give examples of any evidence/data used	impact (e.g. adjustment to the policy)
		because it is known that somebody (either a panel member, a research applicant or research participants) has a particular faith or belief. If the event is all day this may discourage those from religions which require them to pray throughout day to attend.	Ensure that religious observances are considered when planning panel meetings. Considerations might include: Scheduling meetings to avoid major religious festivals; (if impossible to avoid then consider mitigations – ie. during Ramadan ensuring that meetings finish early so that participants are able to get home to break their fast, awareness of the sensitivities around offering Muslims meals during periods of fasting); Not scheduling meetings such that they would require travel late on Friday evenings (Jewish Sabbath) or on Fridays (Friday prayer, Islam) Allowing prayer breaks if requested
Sexual orientation	Potential negative, although few	Also see above, under General Equality and	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity
	opportunities for participants to	Diversity Considerations.	Considerations.

Protected Characteristic Group	Is there a potential for positive or negative impact?	Please explain and give examples of any evidence/data used	Action to address negative impact (e.g. adjustment to the policy)
Sex (gender)	disclose their sexual orientation. Potential negative	There may be potential for bullying and harassment to occur during the event, particularly if more interactive breakout sessions are included. Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Use of language can present a barrier to participation and it may be perceived that those with caring responsibilities are disadvantaged. Panel members may be disadvantaged and unable to attend meetings if they have caring	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Ensure use of gender- neutral language in call specification, guidance, etc. Ensure that the panel has balanced gender representation (aim for at worst 60:40 split)
Age	Potential negative or positive depending on scheme eligibility requirements	responsibilities. Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Early career researchers* may be disadvantaged as they don't have the same track record to draw on as an	Also see above, under General Equality and Diversity Considerations. Track record is not an explicit criterion, given likely relationship to career stage and hence (indirectly) age. Panel members are briefed to make clear that they should be assessing the application in front of

Protected	Is there a potential	Please explain and	Action to address negative
Characteristic Group	for positive or	give examples of any	impact (e.g. adjustment to
	negative impact?	evidence/data used	the policy)
		experienced	them and not reading
		researcher.	between the lines. They
			should assess an
		(*It is assumed that	individual's capability to
		early career	deliver their proposed
		researchers are	research.
		generally younger	
		than their more	Use of a variety of
		experienced peers,	different communication
		although this by no	strategies including
		means always the	social media to ensure
		case. This is why	that our messages reach
		this point has been	the widest possible
		included under	target audience.
		'age').	-

Note: Excessive use of repeated line breaks can make a document inaccessible for users of assistive technologies. To ensure inclusion, please ensure a new table row is inserted for each point if there is more than one consideration or impact for each group (please ensure you populate the "protected characteristic group" column e.g. "disability continued"); rather than using the same row for multiple points with repeated line breaks to separate points.

#### **Evaluation:**

Question	Explanation	/ justification
Is it possible the proposed policy or activity or change in policy or activity could discriminate or unfairly disadvantage people?	Explanation / justificationYes – the are a variety of risks of organising a call and associated events which can unfairly disadvantage certain groups including access barriers (ie venue and timing of the event) and physical and social barriers to proactive participation during the event.See the potential negative impacts outlined above.	
Final Decision:	Tick the relevant box	Include any explanation / justification required
<ol> <li>No barriers identified, therefore activity will proceed.</li> </ol>		
2. You can decide to <b>stop</b> the policy or practice at some point because the data shows bias towards one or more groups		
<ol> <li>You can adapt or change the policy in a way which you think will eliminate the bias</li> </ol>	<b>~</b>	See the mitigations outlined above.

Qu	estion	Explanation / justification	
4.	Barriers and impact identified,		
	however having considered all		
	available options carefully, there		
	appear to be no other proportionate		
	ways to achieve the aim of the policy		
	or practice (e.g. in extreme cases or		
	where positive action is taken).		
	Therefore you are going to <b>proceed</b>		
	with caution with this policy or		
	practice knowing that it may favour		
	some people less than others,		
	providing justification for this decision.		

Will this EIA be published* *EIAs should be published alongside relevant funding activities e.g. calls and events.	Yes
Date completed:	
End date of activity: (if applicable)	
Review date (if applicable):	

Council	Activity
EPSRC	Inclusion Matters Call
EPSRC	New Investigator Awards
EPSRC	Policy change: Limit to the number of applications at a standard panel
BBSRC	BBSRC Future Leader Fellowships (FLF) Scheme
BBSRC	BBSRC/STFC/Innovate UK Biofilms programme

#### Annex 1: Examples of recently completed EIA templates