



**Engineering and
Physical Sciences
Research Council**

Interview Introducer Form

Meeting Participant:	
Scheme:	
Grant Reference:	

Applicant:	
Organisation:	
Title	
Value:	

This form is intended to make notes before the meeting and during the interview; it will be collected afterwards to help feedback to applicants. **Please refer to the meeting guidance notes** for detail on the criteria that this application should be considered against.

1. Notes

--

2. Assessment

Criteria	Comment	Score (1-10)
Quality (Primary)	Prior to interview	
	At interview	
Importance (secondary Major)	Prior to interview	
	At interview	
Applicant and Partnerships (Secondary)	Prior to interview	
	At interview	
Resources and Management (Secondary)	Prior to interview	
	At interview	

3. Additional criteria

Criteria	Comment	Score (1-10)
Additionality (Primary)	Prior to interview	
	At interview	
Advocacy (Secondary)	Prior to interview	
	At interview	

4. Additional call specific criteria

Criteria	Comment	Score (1-10)
There are no additional criteria for this call.	Prior to interview	
	At interview	

5. Overall assessment

--

Overall Score	
---------------	--

6. Quality of the reviewers (if applicable)

Reviewer	Confidence level	Was this reviewer appropriate? (see below)	The advice from the reviewer was: (see scoring scale below)	Generally, did the tick box mark match the comments?

Appropriate scoring scale – 1. inappropriate, 2. marginal, 3. appropriate, 4. highly appropriate.

Advice scoring scale – 1. disregarded, 2. adequate, 3. useful, 4. thorough and helpful.

Do you have any other comments regarding the quality of the reviewers?