Equality Impact Assessment

	Question	Response		
1.	Name of policy/funding activity/event being assessed	Endemic Livestock Diseases Initiative Phase 2 (2022) funding call.		
2.	Summary of aims and objectives of the policy/funding activity/event	This activity will invest up to £7.35 million to support research and innovation across businesses, academia and broader stakeholders towards endemic livestock diseases. This investment builds upon previous funding activities in Phase 1 of the Endemic Livestock Diseases Initiative. The scope of this initiative was developed in consultation with academia, industry, and wider expert stakeholders, including farmers.		
3.	What involvement and consultation has been done in relation to this policy? (e.g. with relevant groups and stakeholders)	The scope and two-part structure of the Endemic Livestock Diseases Initiative have been defined through detailed analysis of key evidence sources and strategic engagement with academic researchers, and contacts from at least twenty industry organisations, including veterinary service providers, animal health companies, retailers and membership/trade organisations, Defra, Welsh Government, Scottish Government and Daera. This has provided key stakeholders across the research and innovation ecosystem to inform and co-develop the programme.		
4.	Who is affected by the policy/funding activity/event?	Short term - Panel members, reviewers and applicants to the funding call. Long-term – Public, Businesses, Policymakers, NGOs, farmers, project staff and students, related research users who may be involved as Project Partners and collaborators.		
5.	What are the arrangements for monitoring and reviewing the actual impact of the policy/funding activity/event?	Voluntary disclosure of diversity data is requested from applicants and reviewers. These data are used to inform BBSRC on the inclusivity of our processes and indicate where improvements could be made. The activity will be monitored by the Business Interaction Unit in BBSRC and an external coordinator. Research outcomes will be collected via ResearchFish. BBSRC encourage those engaged with the call to communicate foreseen barriers, and BBSRC endeavour to make associated reasonable adjustments where possible.		

Protected	Is there a	Please explain and give	Action to address
Characteristic	potential for	examples of any	negative impact (e.g.
Group	positive or	evidence/data used	adjustment to the policy)
	negative impact?		
Disability	Potential negative	Je-S does not comply with disability accessibility schemes. This is currently being addressed by the Funding Service (TFS) project.	The Funding Service (TFS) project is addressing accessibility to Je-S. No immediate action can be taken to improve accessibility to Je-S. In this instance, applicants should ask for assistance from their organisation's research office.
		BBSRC recognises that disability has a diverse spectrum. We encourage individuals to communicate their needs.	Every attempt will be made to accommodate panel members' requirements ahead of and during the panels.
Gender	No known impact		
reassignment			
Marriage or	No known impact		
civil partnership			
Pregnancy and maternity	Potential negative		BBSRC will grant extensions to award holders in line with standard UKRI policies. Provision for parental leave are covered in the UKRI terms and conditions, and will be highlighted in the call document.
Race	None Identified		
Religion or belief	None identified	Religious festivals have been considered in the timetable for this call.	Care has been taken to ensure that key call dates do not fall on cultural and religious holidays where possible
Sexual	None identified		
orientation			
Sex (gender)	None identified		
Age	None identified		
Other (e.g.	Potential negative	A significant portion of the	A considerable amount of
Parenting		time between the call	work has been undertaken
/Caring/		opening and call closing falls	with the relevant
		during the summer	stakeholder groups

Guardianship (including school) holiday responsibilities) period. This may negatively impact parents with schoolage children, or others wishing to take holiday over the summer period. number of the of the relevant this.

throughout Phase 1 of this initiative (over the past 12 month) to raise awareness of the potential timings for Phase 2. This is important because award holders in Phase 1 are likely to consider applying to Phase 2 in order to continue to develop and progress their collaborative projects. Prior to Phase 1 a large communication channels (e.g. direct to farm) were not well-established in the context of this investment. This has been a key, successful outcome of Phase 1 and therefore engagement and communication with many communities for Phase 2 launch will benefit from

A similar launch timeframe was operated for Phase 1, and BBSRC have been monitoring whether this had a detrimental impact on the investment, wider community and applicants, which was not the case; all learning will be applied to Phase 2 launch and implementation.

The investment launch webinar will be recorded and made available to view online after the event for anyone unable to attend live.

The timeline for proposal submissions has been scenario tested against operational risks to BBSRC, desired outputs, outcomes

and impacts of the investment, and EDI factors outlined in this case. Based on this analysis, BBSRC have adjusted the timings as far as feasibly possible to maximise the time available outside of the summer holiday / school holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase accessibility for panel		
factors outlined in this case. Based on this analysis, BBSRC have adjusted the timings as far as feasibly possible to maximise the time available outside of the summer holiday / school holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		· ·
case. Based on this analysis, BBSRC have adjusted the timings as far as feasibly possible to maximise the time available outside of the summer holiday / school holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		
analysis, BBSRC have adjusted the timings as far as feasibly possible to maximise the time available outside of the summer holiday / school holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		
adjusted the timings as far as feasibly possible to maximise the time available outside of the summer holiday / school holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		case. Based on this
as feasibly possible to maximise the time available outside of the summer holiday / school holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		analysis, BBSRC have
maximise the time available outside of the summer holiday / school holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		adjusted the timings as far
available outside of the summer holiday / school holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		as feasibly possible to
summer holiday / school holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		maximise the time
holiday period to write applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		available outside of the
applications while keeping within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		summer holiday / school
within the timetable for the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		holiday period to write
the investment implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		applications while keeping
implementation and overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		within the timetable for
overall delivery. This timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		the investment
timeframe is important because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		implementation and
because it will provide Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		overall delivery. This
Phase 2 award holders with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		timeframe is important
with the optimum project length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		because it will provide
length. A longer bidding window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		Phase 2 award holders
window would likely require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		with the optimum project
require shorter awards which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		length. A longer bidding
which would substantially decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		window would likely
decrease the outputs, outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		require shorter awards
outcomes and wider impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		which would substantially
impacts of the Phase 2 investment. The assessment panel will be held online to increase		decrease the outputs,
The assessment panel will be held online to increase		outcomes and wider
The assessment panel will be held online to increase		impacts of the Phase 2
be held online to increase		investment.
be held online to increase		
		The assessment panel will
accessibility for panel		be held online to increase
accessionity for pariet		accessibility for panel
members with caring		members with caring
responsibilities.		responsibilities.

Evaluation:

Question	Explanation / justification	
Is it possible the proposed policy or activity or change in policy or activity could discriminate or unfairly disadvantage people?	A number of risks have been identified and considered in the process of developing this call. These risks have been mitigated as far as possible.	
Final Decision:	Tick the relevant box	Include any explanation / justification required
No barriers identified, therefore activity will proceed .		

2.	You can decide to stop the policy or practice at some point because the data shows bias towards one or more groups		
3.	You can adapt or change the policy in a way which you think will eliminate the bias	x	Possible risks and bias have been identified and activities have been adapted as far as possible. BBSRC will review this EIA at bi-monthly intervals throughout the call delivery process.
4.	Barriers and impact identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the aim of the policy or practice (e.g. in extreme cases or where positive action is taken). Therefore you are going to proceed with caution with this policy or practice knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision.		

Will this EIA be published* Yes/Not required (*EIA's should be published alongside relevant funding activities e.g. calls and events:	yes
Date completed:	27/07/2022
Review date (if applicable):	

Change log

Name	Date	Version	Change