



Compliance with the UK data protection legislation and the EU General Data Protection Regulations 2016/679 (GDPR)

In accordance with UK data protection legislation and the EU General Data Protection Regulations 2016/679 (GDPR), the personal data provided on this form will be processed by EPSRC, as part of UKRI, and may be held on computerised databases and/or manual files. Further details can be found in the guidance notes and on the UK Research and Innovation Privacy Notice (<https://www.ukri.org/privacy-notice/>).

EPSRC Fellowship Peer Review

EPSRC Reference:

Document Status: With Council

Applicant Details

Applicant		Organisation	
-----------	--	--------------	--

Title of Research Project

Review Information

Response Due Date		Reviewer Reference:	
-------------------	--	---------------------	--

Quality

Primary criterion. Please comment on the degree of research excellence of the proposal, making reference to: (1) The novelty, relationship to the context, timeliness and relevance to identified stakeholders; (2) The ambition, adventure, transformative aspects or potential outcomes; (3) The suitability of the proposed methodology and the appropriateness of the approach to achieving impact. (For multi-disciplinary proposals please state which aspects of the proposal you feel qualified to assess.)

National Importance

Secondary major criterion. Comment on the national importance of the research. How it: (1) Contributes to/helps maintain the health of other disciplines, contributes to addressing key UK societal challenges and/or contributes to future UK economic success and development of emerging industry(s); (2) Meets national needs by establishing/maintaining a unique world leading activity; (3) Complements other UK research funded in the area, including any relationship to the EPSRC portfolio.

Applicant and Partnerships (track record)

Secondary major criterion. Please comment on the applicant's track record making reference to: (1) The appropriateness of

the track record of the applicant(s); (2) The balance of skills of the project team, including collaborators.

Resources and Management

Please comment on the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified, making reference to: (1) Any equipment requested, or the viability of the arrangements described to access equipment needed for this project, and particularly on any university or third-party contribution; (2) Any resources requested for activities to either increase impact, for public engagement or to support responsible innovation.

Fellowship Vision and Delivery

Please comment on how the award of the fellowship will progress the career of the applicant over and above their current trajectory, and why the applicant needs this award in order to achieve this career progression. The applicant should be able to describe how they will ensure that the potential success of the fellowship will be maximised and identify how project risks have been mitigated.

Community Leadership

Please comment on: (1) The extent to which the applicant can demonstrate that matters relating to the modern research environment have been integrated within their proposal and how the ongoing management of these elements will be addressed; (2) The ability to communicate clearly through either written or oral medium; (3) How the applicant demonstrates awareness of the advocacy role required of a fellow.

Team Leadership

Please comment on: (1) The strategy in place for ensuring continued research and professional development of staff, or other colleagues they will be managing on the project; (2) The applicant's plan for creating a positive working culture; (3) The track record of the applicant (relative to their career stage) in these matters to give the panel confidence that points (1) and (2) will be successfully delivered.

Continued Professional Development

The applicant will be expected to outline how their development goals will enable them to expand/enhance their current role. Please comment on: (1) The appropriateness of the professional development goals outlined in the application (relative to the career stage of the applicant) and the plans in place (noting that this may not constitute formal training) to enable the fellow to reach these goals; (2) The level and suitability of the support offered by the Host Organisation.

Overall Assessment

Please summarise your view of this proposal

My judgement is that: (1) This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed; (2) This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria; (3) This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses; (4) This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses; (5) This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria; (6) This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria.

1	2	3	4	5	6
---	---	---	---	---	---

My confidence level in assessing this is:

Low	Medium	High
-----	--------	------

Reviewer Expertise

Please indicate your areas of expertise that are relevant to your assessment. Take care not to reveal your identity to the applicant.

--