Annex A: Summary of initial decisions and areas for further consultation

	Decisions	Areas for further consultation	Means of consultation
Timing	The next assessment exercise will take place in 2028. Submissions will be made in late 2027 and outcomes will be announced in December 2028.	None	N/A
Volume measure	Institutions will not submit staff to REF 2028Staff data will be gathered directly fromHESA to determine the volumeREF 2021 staff eligibility criteria will be usedto identify staff posts that contribute to thevolume measureThe funding bodies will calculate the volumeusing an average FTE of eligible staff acrossAYs 25/26 and 26/27Institutions will be able to request anexemption from submission for very smalldisciplinary areasInstitutions will be able to undertake a light-touch refresh of their REF 2021 Codes ofPractice, where appropriate	 What practical challenges may institutions face in implementing these changes? How might the funding bodies mitigate against these challenges? What would be the impact of these changes on individual researchers and particularly those with protected characteristics? 	Initial decisions consultation (summer/autumn 2023)
Outputs submission	REF 2028 will fully break the link between individual staff members and unit submissions In REF 2028, HEIs will be required to submit 2.5 outputs per FTE of volume-contributing staff in each disciplinary area where they	What impact would these changes have on individual researchers and particularly those with protected characteristics? What impact would these changes have on institutions in preparing output submission? What might be the unintended consequences of these changes? None	Initial decisions consultation (summer/autumn 2023) N/A

Institutions may submit outputs produce any staff member where there is a demonstrable and substantive link to the submitting institution within the REF assessment period	of allowing the submission of outputs	Initial decisions consultation (summer/autumn 2023)
Institutions will be able to submit one ou to multiple submissions, provided it falls within the UOA descriptors	utput Do the proposed arrangements for co-	Initial decisions consultation (summer/autumn 2023)
There will be no minimum or maximum output requirements for individual staff members. However, units will be require explain how their submitted output pool representative of the research undertak within the disciplinary area	is	N/A
Given there is no minimum output requirement for volume-contributing sta there is no need for a process to accoun individual equality-related circumstance REF 2028 will include consideration of t impact of equality-related circumstances within an overall process for determining potential reductions in output requirement at submission level. The process will no	nt for s and particularly those with protected characteristics? the s g ents	Initial decisions consultation (summer/autumn 2023)

	based on the aggregation of individual		
	equality-related circumstances.		
Open access	UKRI open access compliant publications will be considered to meet the REF 2028 open access requirements without additional action from the author and/or institution	The funding bodies will consult with the sector before developing the full REF 2028 open access policy	Open access consultation (Autumn/Winter 2023/24)
	Until new policies come into force, institutions should continue to follow REF 2021 open access policies	None	N/A
	The focus of the environment element will be expanded to People, Culture and Environment	None	N/A
	This element will make up 25% of the overall quality profile	None	N/A
	People, Culture and Environment will be assessed at both institution level and disciplinary level	The content of each statement will be determined in the criteria-setting phase in consultation with the expert panels and the wider sector	Consultation on Guidance on submissions and Panel criteria (Winter 2024/25)
People, culture and environment	The score for the institutional-level statement will constitute at least 20% of the People, Culture and Environment sub-profile for each submission. It will also be published separately to ensure transparency	The contribution of the institutional-level statement to the discipline-level quality sub- profile will be agreed dependent on the content of each statement	
	The format of the people, culture and environment statement will move towards a more tightly defined, questionnaire-style template There will be data and evidence requirements to ensure that the statements focus on demonstrable outcomes	Further work to identify suitable metrics/data/indicators for both unit and institutional-level statements is planned and will include a strong consultative component with the sector	Commissioned exploration of metrics/data/indicators (Autumn/winter 2023)
Contribution to knowledge and	The assessment of outputs will be expanded to include the assessment of a unit's contribution to knowledge and understanding	None	N/A
understanding	This element will include assessment of outputs and a structured explanatory statement	None	N/A

	The Contribution to Knowledge and Understanding element will make up 50% of a unit's quality profile	None	N/A
	The structured statement will make up at least 10% of the quality sub-profile for this assessment element	We will consult with the main- and sub-panels on the appropriate contribution of the statement in the context of specific disciplines	Consultation on Guidance on submissions and Panel criteria (Winter 2024/25)
	The explanatory statement will require HEIs to outline: - their wider contribution to knowledge and understanding in the disciplinary area, supported by evidence and data - the contributions that enable the research	Further work to identify suitable metrics/data/indicators for the explanatory statement is planned and will include a strong consultative component with the sector.	Commissioned exploration of metrics/data/indicators (Autumn/winter 2023)
	of others outside the HEI - how the outputs submitted are representative of the research undertaken within the disciplinary area	We will consult with the main- and sub-panels on the appropriate content of the statement in the context of specific disciplines	Consultation on Guidance on submissions and Panel criteria (Winter 2024/25)
	The 'impact' element will be expanded to Engagement and Impact	None	N/A
	This element will include assessment of impact case studies and a structured explanatory statement which sets out the wider contribution research activities to society and the economy	Further work to identify suitable metrics/data/indicators for the explanatory statement is planned and will include a strong consultative component with the sector.	Commissioned exploration of metrics/data/indicators (Autumn/winter 2023)
Engagement and impact		We will consult with the main- and sub-panels on the appropriate content of the statement in the context of specific disciplines	Consultation on Guidance on submissions and Panel criteria (Winter 2024/25)
	The Engagement and impact element will make up 25% of a unit's quality profile	None	N/A
	The structured statement will make up at least 20% of the quality sub-profile for this assessment element.	To what extent do you support weighting the impact statement on a sliding scale in proportion to the number of case studies submitted?	Initial decisions consultation (summer/autumn 2023)
	The funding bodies intend to weight the statement on a sliding scale, proportionate		

number of case studies submitted to that its contribution to the sub-profile ss than that of a single impact case mber of case studies required in each sion will be determined by the e FTE of volume-contributing staff in t. ons will be required to submit a	What will be the impact of reducing the minimum number to one? What will be the impact of revising the	Initial decisions consultation (summer/autumn 2023)
sion will be determined by the e FTE of volume-contributing staff in t. ons will be required to submit a	minimum number to one? What will be the impact of revising the	
m of one impact case study per nary submission.	thresholds between case study requirements?	
nding bodies propose to revise the olds between case study ments.		
2028, units will no longer be required onstrate that the research inning impact case studies is of m 2* quality	None	N/A
028 will retain the REF 2021 Unit of ment structure and will include by panels on Equality, Diversity and on, and Interdisciplinary research	The funding bodies invite views from institutions and disciplinary communities on any disciplinary developments since REF 2021 that would require changes to be made to the UOA structure.	Initial decisions consultation (summer/autumn 2023)
rate panel will be appointed to ake assessment of the institutional- eople, Culture and Environment ent	None	N/A
nding bodies intend to retain the ents on Covid impact that were used	What is your view on the proposed measures to take into account the impact of the Covid pandemic? What other measures should the funding bodies consider to take into account the	Initial decisions consultation (summer/autumn 2023)
ndin ents	s on Covid impact that were used 21, and to require some ion of how Covid impacts have essed in output selection as part	s on Covid impact that were used 21, and to require some ion of how Covid impacts have to take into account the impact of the Covid pandemic?