

ESRC - Equality Impact Assessment for Outline and Full Panel Stage of Call

	Question	Response
1.	Name of policy/funding activity/event being assessed	Resilient UK Coastal Communities and Seas
2.	Summary of aims and objectives of the policy/funding activity/event	ESRC large grant funding opportunity to enhance the resilience and well-being of UK coastal communities and seas
		Applying place-based and natural capital approaches to transform our whole system understanding of climatic, environmental, health, economic, social and cultural factors affecting UK coastal communities and seas.
		Deliver the sound evidence base, tools and practical solutions needed to inform policy and decision making in support of resilient management of UK coastal seas, its coastal communities, and the natural capital that it supports.
		Build capacity and capability in transdisciplinary research and the knowledge mobilisation of research within coastal communities and seas.
		Desired outcomes:
		Enhanced place-based whole system understanding of the challenges facing UK coastal communities and seas.
		Provision of evidence, scalable tools, and practical solutions for local and national policymakers, communities and practitioners to inform their decision-making in support of delivering more resilient and sustainable UK coastal communities and seas.
		Enhanced transdisciplinary research capacity and capability informing new approaches to policy and practice within coastal management.
3.	What involvement and consultation has been done in relation to this policy? (e.g. with relevant groups and stakeholders)	 Half day workshop activity with academic representatives across multiple disciplines, to identify key challenges in coastal community and natural environment research. Discussions on scoping with representatives from relevant policy and nature departments (DEFRA;

	DAERA; NatureScot; BEIS; Scottish government;
	Welsh Government; NIHR)
	 Scoping activities in consulation with UKRI
	councils and advisory groups (NERC; AHRC; ESRC-
	ESSSAG; UKRI Creating Opportunities Board)
Who is affected by the policy/funding	 Consultees in the scoping stage.
activity/event?	 Applicants for the programme.
	 Panel members for the call.
	 ESRC staff delivering the scheme and attending
	the panel meetings for the Call.
	 User communities/stakeholders
	 Existing UKRI investments
What are the arrangements for	Proposals will be assessed by peer review in a
monitoring and reviewing the actual	panel assessment format.
impact of the policy/funding	 Progress will be monitored through regular
activity/event?	reporting and meetings with funders
	 There will be an interim review during the
	lifecourse of the programme
	 Researchfish for capturing information on
	achievements
	• Evaluation and key performance indicators agreed
	as part of the commissioning process
	activity/event? What are the arrangements for monitoring and reviewing the actual impact of the policy/funding

Protected Characteristic Group	Is there a potential for positive or negative impact?	Please explain and give examples of any evidence/data used	Action to address negative impact (e.g. adjustment to the policy)
Disability	Potentially Negative	Je-S does not currently comply with disability accessibility schemes, which the outline stage will use. This will be picked up by the Funding Service (TFS) project, which the full call stage will use. Applicants should seek support from their RO's research support office. Panel meeting will be virtual. Prolonged screen time can cause problems for persons with particular conditions or working preferences.	Solicit information from panel participants (in confidence) about any additional requirements they may have in order to fully participate. Under current guidelines, all meetings will take place virtually via Zoom and will have the option to include closed captions for those who are hearing impaired. The considerations listed below will be taken into account only if working conditions change which means that meetings take place in person. Depending on the needs identified, considerations might include:

		Danal mosting	· Closed contions for the
		Panel meeting attendees with	 Closed captions for the hearing impaired;
		neuro-disabilities may	 Adequate lighting,
		experience difficulties	alternative document
		with concentration	formatting and potential
		and focus during	use of screen readers for
		panel assessments	the visually impaired;
			Provision of documents
			in sans-serif, dyslexia-
			friendly fonts; and
			dyslexia-friendly
			formats;
			Avoiding colours,
			lighting etc that may
			trigger migraines,
			epilepsy;
			 Ensuring that plenty of
			breaks are built into the
			agenda;
			• Consider (on a case-by-
			case basis) paying T&S
			for carers or support
			workers to attend
			alongside the
			participant, where this is
			required and not
			covered by the
			Individual's own
			employment contract.
Gender reassignment	Detentially receptive	UKRI records may	Consideration needs to
	Potentially negative	show the wrong	be given at UKRI level as to how records
		gender. Trans people	
		may be absent from work as a	(including Gateway to Research and other
			communications
		consequence of transition.	materials) might be
			adjusted. UKRI terms and
			conditions are flexible in
			nature and absence as a
			result of medical
			treatment. We would
			expect that absence
			related to transition
			would be covered by the
			RO's sick policy and
			strongly encourage ROs
			to treat absence relating
			to transition like any
			other sick absence
Marriage or civil	None		
partnership			
		1	

-	1		
Pregnancy and		Parental leave may	Provision for parental
maternity	Potentially negative	prevent people from	leave (including
		attending the panel,	maternity leave,
		or feeling that they	paternity leave, and
		cannot participate	leave related to
		due to caring for	surrogacy and adoption)
		children/attending	are covered in the UKRI
		appointments.	terms and conditions.
			We shall ensure the use
			of gender-neutral
			language – e.g use the
			term parental leave
			instead of
			maternity/paternity.
			The costs of additional
			childcare for
			grantholders, beyond
			that required to meet
			the normal contracted
			requirements of the job,
			and that are directly
			related to the project,
			may be requested as a
			directly incurred cost if
			the institutional policy is
			to reimburse them.
			However, childcare costs
			associated with normal
			working patterns may
			not be sought. (See UKRI
			fEC FAQs)
			If the Panel meeting is
			taking place via Zoom,
			breaks will be provided
			to provide breaks for
			breastfeeding/expressing
			mothers if necessary.
			Reimbursement of
			additional childcare costs
			(on a case-by-case basis)
			if the meeting
			participant is otherwise
			unable to attend (this
			could include additional
			hours of childcare in the
			child's usual setting or
			paying for a relative to
			travel to care for school
D		The second second second	age children)
Race	Detention	There could be	Whilst panel members
	Potentially negative	potential for	are appointed, first and
		discrimination	foremost, based on

	Γ	ſ	
		because it is known	expertise, we will aim to
		to somebody (either a	appoint a diverse panel
		peer reviewer, panel	membership. Final
		member, a research	decisions take into
		applicant) has a	account trying to balance
		particular race.	the panels by gender and
			geography and seek to
			ensure a diversity of
			career stage and
			institutions. We will only
			make recruitment
			decisions which
			compromise diversity
			when it is objectively
			justified by the necessity
			to ensure the required
			breadth of subject
			expertise with high
			quality candidates.
			Restate considerations of
			unconscious bias by
			briefing the panel at the
			start of the meeting.
Religion or belief		There could be	Ensure that religious
	Potentially negative	potential for	observances are taken
		discrimination	into account when
		because it is known	planning panel meetings.
		to somebody (either a	
		peer reviewer, panel	Ensure that religious
		member, a research	observances are taken
		applicant) has a	into account when
		particular belief or	planning panel meetings.
		faith	Considerations might
			include:
			 Scheduling meetings
			to avoid major religious
			festivals; (if impossible to
			avoid then consider
			mitigations – ie. during
			Ramadan ensuring that
			meetings finish early so
			that participants are able
			to get home to break
			their fast, awareness of
			the sensitivities around
			approaches to meals
			during periods of
			fasting);
			Not scheduling
			meetings such that they
			would require
			commitment on Friday

			evenings (Jewish
			Sabbath) or on Fridays
			(Friday prayer, Islam)
			Allowing prayer breaks
			if requested
Sexual orientation		There could be	Whilst panel members
	Potentially negative	potential for	are appointed, first and
		discrimination	foremost, based on
		because it is known	expertise, we will aim to
		to somebody (either a	appoint a diverse panel
		peer reviewer, panel	membership. Final
		member, a research	decisions take into
		applicant) has a	account trying to balance
		particular sexual	the panels by gender and
		orientation.	geography and seek to
			ensure a diversity of
			career stage and
			institutions. We will only
			make recruitment
			decisions which
			compromise diversity
			when it is objectively
			justified by the necessity
			to ensure the required
			breadth of subject
			expertise with high
			quality candidates.
			Unconscious bias will be
			discussed during the
			panel.
Sex (gender)	Potentially negative	There could be	Whilst panel members
		potential for	are appointed, first and
		discrimination	foremost, based on
		because it is known	expertise, we will aim to
		to somebody (either a	appoint a diverse panel
		peer reviewer, panel	membership. Final
		member, a research	decisions take into
		applicant) has a	account trying to balance
		particular gender	the panels by gender and
			geography and seek to
		Use of language can	ensure a diversity of
		present a barrier to	career stage and
		participation and it	institutions. We will only
		may be perceived	make recruitment
		that those with caring	decisions which
		responsibilities are	compromise diversity
		disadvantaged.	when it is objectively
			justified by the necessity
		Panel members may	to ensure the required
		be disadvantaged and	breadth of subject
		unable to attend	expertise with high
			quality candidates.

		meetings if they have	Unconscious bias will be
		caring responsibilities	discussed during the
			panel.
			Ensure use of gender
			neutral language in call
			specification, guidance,
			etc. Ensure that the
			panel has balanced
			gender representation
			(aim for at worst 60:40
			split)
Age		Early career	Panel members are
	Potential positive or	researchers* may be	briefed to make clear
	negative depending	disadvantaged as	that they should be
	on the scheme	they don't have the	assessing the application
	eligibility	same track record to	in front of them and not
	requirements	draw on as an	reading between the
		experienced	lines. They should assess
		researcher.	an individual's capability
		(*It is assumed that	to deliver their proposed
		early career	research.
		researchers are	Use of a variety of
		generally younger	different communication
		than their more	strategies including
		experienced peers,	social media to ensure
		although this by no	that our messages reach
		means always the	the widest possible
		case. This is why this	target audience.
		point has been	
		included under 'age').	

Evaluation:

Question	Explanation	/ justification
Is it possible the proposed change in policy, funding activity or event could discriminate or unfairly disadvantage people?	Yes, but steps described above have been taken to mitigate the likelihood of this in the areas covered.	
Final Decision:	Tick the relevant box	Include any explanation / justification required
 No barriers identified; therefore activity will proceed. 		
 You can decide to stop the policy or practice at some point because the data shows bias towards one or more groups 		
3. You can adapt or change the policy in a way which you think will eliminate the bias	x	Yes, but steps described above have been taken to mitigate the likelihood of this in the areas covered
4. Barriers and impact identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the aim of the policy or practice (e.g. in extreme cases or where positive action is taken). Therefore you are going to proceed with caution with this policy or practice knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision.		

Will this EIA be published* Yes/Not required (*EIA's should be published alongside relevant funding activities e.g. calls and events:	Yes
Date completed:	20/02/2023
Review date (if applicable):	05/10/2023

Change log

Name	Date	Version	Change
Andrew Telford	When published	1	