

UK Research and Innovation Equality Impact Assessment Form

BBSRC Pioneer Awards 2025/26: bioscience discovery research



Overview of activity

	Response
Name of activity being assessed	BBSRC Pioneer Awards 2025/26: bioscience discovery research
Council/department/project team	Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC)
Aims and objectives of the activity	The objective of the BBSRC Pioneer Awards 2025/26 funding opportunity is to support small-scale, early-stage, discovery research projects that will open original and adventurous research avenues with the potential to transform our fundamental understanding of living systems. It will do that by supporting a varied portfolio (~18 grants, up to ~£350,000 full economic cost each) of high-risk, high potential discovery research projects that: • are relevant to BBSRC's Frontier Bioscience: understanding the rules of life theme • are original and visionary, challenging current thinking and paradigms • focus on exploring and revealing novel insights and theories relating to our fundamental understanding of biological systems • are early stage and untested, lacking preliminary data and perhaps involving creative or unconventional approaches to the research challenge • involve a high level of uncertainty or require a range of exploratory investigations, such that while there are clear aims to explore, the outcomes are neither predictable nor guaranteed • can involve any combination of experimental, analytical, and theoretical work, potentially crossing disciplinary boundaries, including non-bioscience fields • constitute a significant departure from existing lines of investigation or represent an entirely new line of inquiry • have the potential to substantially shift current and future thinking about an important topic. Key features:
	This is the second round of the Pioneer Awards
	 Review of the pilot round, which included feedback from both applicants and assessors, highlighted how positively the opportunity was received and that it filled an untapped need in the funding landscape
	 Due to the nature of this opportunity (i.e. high appetite for risk, lack of preliminary data) we believe applicants may be the best placed to judge other applications. This is why we wish to trial distributed peer review (DPR), in which applicants are also assessors



- We expect a high number of applications coming in and this is why we will be strongly
 advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, with a genuine prospect of being
 funded, and to not to submit large numbers of full proposals, given the overall scale of funding
 available and expected level of competition nationally
- The Pioneer Awards funding opportunity will include a mandatory registration stage and a fullapplication stage
- Registrations will be assessed by the office on how well they fit the scope of this call, and a
 recommendation will be sent back to applicants on whether we believe they should invest
 further time and energy in writing a full-application
- The full-application stage will be open to everyone who submitted a registration, regardless
 of the recommendation they received
- If the full application is judged to be out of the scope of the call it will be quickly rejected by the office without further assessment
- Full applications that meet the remit of the call will be preliminary assessed via DPR focussing only on the summary and vision sections of the application
- Based on this preliminary assessment we will prioritise a subset of applications (90-120) that will be assessed by a multidisciplinary panel that will be looking at all sections of each application
- Panel members will use their own knowledge and experience to evaluate each proposal against the set assessment criteria that will be detailed in the call text
- Applicants will have the opportunity to respond to reviewers' comments, before the panel reaches a final decision
- The assessment panel(s) will score applications and assign them to funding bands from A (i.e. exceptional, must be funded) to D (i.e. should not be funded)
- Funding permitting, we intent to fund all exceptional applications (band A) and then apply
 partial randomisation to randomly allocate the remaining budget among the strong
 applications (band B)

This funding opportunity requires **consideration of equality, diversity, and inclusion as part of advertising, application process, DPR assessment, panel building, panel review, and hosting panel meetings**. The introduction of the **partial randomisation** mechanism involves some additional equality, diversity, and inclusion considerations that are explored below.



Who is affected by your policy/funding activity/event?	Applicants to the scheme, research officers, panel members and chairs, the UKRI-BBSRC secretariat and employees supporting delivery of the funding opportunity.
What data and consultation have you used?	 Pioneer awards pilot review, including a geographic and people diversity analys successful applications Lessons learned from similar UKRI funding opportunities (e.g. New Horizons – Exploring the frontiers of environmental science research – NERC) Lessons learned from UKRI opportunities that have implemented research organisation for demand management (e.g. Future Leaders Fellowships, Cross Research Consultation of the schemes mentioned above Lessons learned from UKRI opportunities that have implemented partial randomisation of the schemes mentioned above Commented [CM1]: Detail the evidence used and any consultation that was done related to the equality impact of your activity. This could include (not an exhaustive list): UKRI data and reports. For example, UKRI workforce profile or funding diversity data External data e.g. HESA, BEIS, sector reports Survey results or feedback from networks or people who share a protected characteristic, subject matter experts, diverse user groups, unions Previous consultations, outcomes or lessons learned from similar projects
Analysisa yeur impost	Previous EIAs both within and outside UKRI Legal precedent and case studies - seek advice

Analysing your impact

Protected characteristics

Protected characteristic		Please explain the impact or why there is no impact including details of any evidence/data used	Detail actions taken/ that will be taken to increase positive or reduce negative impact (or why action is not possible). Detail how you plan to measure the relevant outcomes and outputs of your activity.
Age	\times	This funding opportunity is open to individuals at lecturer level and above based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that certain people might be excluded from the final submission list.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding

from legal or HR if you're unsure



			opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring these processes employ good practice relating to equality, diversity, and inclusion (EDI).
		UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants. We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers.	Data on applicants' age will not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions.
		This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel. Panel members will be invited based on their academic expertise. This might exclude some early career researchers that have not yet managed to establish themselves enough to be considered experts.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. There is no further action that can be taken without compromising the integrity of the assessment process. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors. Review of the Pioneer Awards pilot highlighted a higher proportion (61.3%) of project leads under the age of 50 in comparison to the BBSRC-wide average for 2020/21 (50%), as well as a higher proportion under the age of 40% (24.2% vs 15%). The pattern is broadly similar when considering the full teams.
		There is no impact on research officers and BBSRC staff. There are no barriers to participation to adults of any age.	The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
Disability	\boxtimes	This funding opportunity is open to individuals based at organisations eligible for BBSRC	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating



funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that people with disabilities might be excluded from the final submission list.

UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants. We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers. We support people to work in a way that suits their personal circumstances. Data on applicants' disability cannot not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions. Review of the Pioneer Awards pilot revealed that only 2.4% of award leads reported a disability.

unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring these processes employ good practice relating to EDI.

UKRI can provide guidance and support to applicants and award holders, if they are disabled, have a long-term health condition, are neurodivergent, or have a learning disability. We will welcome applicants to share information about the reasonable adjustments they may need, including support in the assessment process and requesting eligible costs for reasonable adjustments. We will highlight in the call text that they do not need a formal diagnosis. Panel members will be informed applications may include these costs for reasonable adjustments and will be advised they should be accepted without comment. UKRI will ensure their eligibility. The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency. Data on applicants' disabilities will not be used to make funding decisions.



	Career breaks in applicant track records may result in the potential for unconscious bias during assessment.	The Pioneer Awards is a unique funding opportunity that focusses more on the potential to deliver distinctive and transformative outcomes rather than on applicants' previous track records. Additionally, the Resume for Research and Innovation has an optional additions section, where applicants may provide context, such as details for career breaks.	
	Neurodivergent attendees (Panel members and BBSRC staff) might find the duration and/or pace of the Panel meetings to be taxing.	Panel meetings will take place or Commented [RB2]: Suggest to use word Regular comfort breaks will be schedu neurodivergent and avoid abbreviations e.g. the agenda. Panel members and BE possible	. PMs where
	para a managa a managa	staff will be encouraged to take additional sensory breaks if they need to. To allow that without impacting the flow of the meeting,	
		there will be 3 introducing members for each application and at least 2 BBSRC colleagues	
		in each panel meeting. We will Commented [RB3]: At the invite stage we we information from meeting participants about	vill
		any additional requirement they may need to fully participate. Finally, the partial	
		randomisation process can streamline the funding process by reducing the time and	
		effort spent on detailed evaluations of equally strong applications without compromising on quality.	
	UKRI-BBSRC recognise the broad spectrum of disability and as such appreciate that not every		
	barrier can be anticipated.	participants, we will make publications available in different formats (e.g. large print or printed onto different colour paper).	
		Contact information will be shared and	



		This funding opportunity will be assessed both	applicants, research officers, and panel members will be encouraged to get in touch and inform us of any reasonable adjustments they might require so we can try to offer tailored assistance on a case-by-case basis where possible. The same option will be offered to BBSRC staff as well. We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel,
		by DPR and an expert panel.	representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors.
Gender reassignment (Trans identity)		This funding opportunity is open to individuals based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that people with trans identities might be excluded from the final submission list.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring these processes employ good practice relating to EDI.
		UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants. We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers.	Gender reassignment data cannot not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions.
		Individuals who have had/are in the process of gender reassignment may feel disinclined to apply or participate in our panels if our language	We will use gender neutral language, e.g. pronouns such as 'they' or 'you' instead of 'he/she', in all documents and materials, and



		isn't inclusive. Therefore, gender neutral language is important to support inclusivity, equality, and representation.	throughout the panel meetings. By publishing this Equality Impact Assessment, we will also encourage panel members to use gender neutral language throughout their interactions and when writing their reviews and feedback to the applicants.
		This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. During the panel meeting we will encourage participants to provide their pronouns and the pronunciation of their names.
		There are no barriers to participation to individuals of any gender or identity.	The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
Marriage or civil partnership		This funding opportunity is open to individuals based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community.
		UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants.	Marital data cannot not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions.
		This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel. Panel members will be invited based on their academic expertise.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. We will not enquire about panel members marital status.



		There is no impact on research officers and BBSRC staff. There are no barriers to participation to individuals of any marital status.	The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
Pregnancy and maternity	\boxtimes	Pregnant persons who are on parental leave and persons on parental leave may miss the invitation to participate in this funding opportunity as applicants or assessors.	We are hoping to make this a recuring call. UKRI-BBSRC extends Pool of Experts membership period if parental leave is taken during their appointment.
		This funding opportunity is open to individuals based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that pregnant people or parents might be excluded from the final submission list.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring these processes employ good practice relating to EDI.
		Pregnant persons might feel disinclined or may require additional adjustments to apply to this opportunity. <u>UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity</u> for all funding applicants. We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers. We support people to work in a way that suits their personal circumstances.	We will be communicating clearly in our call text the UKRI policies that allow for flexibility to include extension of deadlines and activation of grants. Data on pregnancy and maternity will not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions.



This funding opportunity will be assessed both We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, by DPR and an expert panel. representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. Pregnant persons may require additional Panel meetings will take place on-line. adjustments to enable participation in the panel Regular comfort breaks will be scheduled to the agenda. Panel members and BBSRC meetings. staff will be encouraged to take additional breaks if they need to. To allow that without impacting the flow of the meeting, there will be 3 introducing members for each application and at least 2 BBSRC colleagues in each panel meeting. We will gather information from meeting participants about any additional requirement they may need to fully participate so we can try to offer tailored assistance on a case-by-case basis where possible. The partial randomisation process can streamline the funding process by reducing the time and effort spent on detailed evaluations of equally strong applications without compromising on quality. Career breaks in applicant track records may The Pioneer Awards is a unique funding result in the potential for unconscious bias opportunity that focusses more on the potential to deliver distinctive and during assessment. transformative outcomes rather than on applicants' previous track records. Additionally, the Resume for Research and Innovation has an optional additions section, where applicants may provide context, such as details for career breaks. The partial

randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards



				certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
	Race		This funding opportunity is open to individuals of all races based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that certain groups of people might be excluded from the final submission list. Individuals from ethnic minority backgrounds are underrepresented as academic staff (HESA, 2022/23).	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring these processes employ good practice relating to EDI.
			UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants and panel members.	Data on applicants' race will not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions. Review of the Pioneer Awards pilot highlighted a high race-gap between award leads, with just 9.7% other-than-white project leads. This is slightly higher than the BBSRC-wide average for 2020/21 (6%). There was a marginally higher proportion of other-than-white investigators when considering the full team (11.8%).
_			This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel. Panel members will be invited based on their academic expertise.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors. To avoid potential unconscious bias, first names and titles of applicants will not be used during the assessment



			discussions. The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency. We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers. During the panel meeting we
			will encourage participants to provide their pronouns and the pronunciation of their names.
Religion or belief		Applicants, panel members, research officers, and BBSRC staff might miss the announcement for this opportunity, a deadline, or a panel meeting due to a religious holiday or observance.	An interfaith calendar has been consulted to ensure that none of announcements, deadlines, or meetings for this opportunity coincide with any major religious holidays or observances.
		This funding opportunity is open to individuals of all religions and beliefs or of no religion or belief that are based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that certain people might be excluded from the final submission list.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring these processes employ good practice relating to EDI.
		UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants and panel members.	Data on participants' religion or belief will not not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions.



Т			This funding opportunity will be assessed both	
			by DPR and an expert panel.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors.
			Panel meetings, due to their prolonged duration, might coincide with pray times.	Panel meetings will take place on-line. Regular breaks will be scheduled to the agenda. Panel members and BBSRC staff will be encouraged to take additional breaks if they need to. To allow that without impacting the flow of the meeting, there will be 3 introducing members for each application and at least 2 BBSRC colleagues in each panel meeting.
			There are no barriers to participation to persons of any religions or beliefs, nor to persons of no religion or belief.	in each panel meeting. The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
•	Sexual orientation		This funding opportunity is open to individuals of all sexual orientations that are based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that certain people might be excluded from the final submission list.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring



		UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants	these processes employ good practice relating to EDI. Data on applicants' sexual orientation will not not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service
		and panel members.	and will not be used to make funding decisions.
		This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors.
		There are no barriers to participation to persons of any sexual orientation.	The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
Sex		This funding opportunity is open to individuals of all sexes and genders that are based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that certain people might be excluded from the final submission list. Given the greater proportion of cisgender males at the mid and advanced career stages (HESA, 2022/23) this could result in an increased proportion of applications from cisgender male applicants to the scheme.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring these processes employ good practice relating to EDI.



UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants and panel members. We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers.

Data on applicants' sex and gender will not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions. Review of the Pioneer Awards pilot highlighted a gender gap between award leads, with just 22.6% women project leads. This is even lower than the BBSRC-wide average for 2020/21 (26%). There was a slightly higher proportion of female investigators when considering the full team (28.3%). Furthermore, there is an uneven gender split in the Pool of Experts from which panel members are drawn.

Persons who do not identify with a specific gender may feel disinclined to apply or participate in our panels if our language isn't inclusive.

We will use gender neutral language, e.g. pronouns such as 'they' or 'you' instead of 'he/she', in all documents and materials, and throughout the panel meetings. By publishing this Equality Impact Assessment, we will also encourage panel members to use gender neutral language throughout their interactions and when writing their reviews and feedback to the applicants. During the panel meeting we will encourage participants to provide their pronouns and the pronunciation of their names.

This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel.

We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess, gender will be considered to ensure equal representation as far as practicable. To avoid potential unconscious bias, first names and titles of applicants will not be used during the assessment discussions. The partial



		randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
	We support people to work in a way that suits their personal circumstances.	We will be communicating clearly in our call text the UKRI policies that allow for flexibility to include extension of deadlines and activation of grants. There are no barriers to participation to persons of any sex, gender, or identity.

Additional characteristics

Additional characteristics	Positive impact or opportunity to benefit Leave blank if no impact or u	 Please explain the impact including details of any evidence/data used	Detail actions taken/ that will be taken to increase positive or reduce negative impact (or why action is not possible).
Geographical location and place (consider UK and international offices)		This funding opportunity is open to individuals that are based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding across the UK. Every research organisation across the UK will be given the opportunity to submit to this call	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community.
		UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants. There is good evidence for a broad geographic distribution of awards in the Pioneer Awards pilot and the 'top 10' lead institutions receiving awards	Data on applicants' research organisation geographic location will not be used to make funding decisions.



		does not mirror the BBSRC top funded organisations entirely. This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel. The location of an in-person panel meeting might	representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors. The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
Socio-economic status		be a barrier to some of the attendees. This funding opportunity is open to individuals based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community.
		While there is not explicit data on socioeconomic status and lecturers in the HESA 2022/23 report, it's understood that individuals from higher socioeconomic backgrounds are often overrepresented in higher education, including among academic staff. This is reflected in broader trends of access to education and career progression.	funding call, and we have no way of collecting data on the socioeconomic background of applicants and instilling any changes.
		This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community



		There is no impact on research officers and BBSRC staff. There are no barriers to participation to individuals of any socio-economic background.	they will be attempting to assess. We will not enquire about panel members marital status. The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
Education background		This funding opportunity is open to individuals at lecturer level and above based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. <u>UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity</u> for all funding applicants.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community.
		This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel. Panel members will be invited based on their academic expertise. This might exclude some early career researchers that have not yet managed to establish themselves enough to be considered experts.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. There is no further action that can be taken without compromising the integrity of the assessment process. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors. The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
		We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers.	The Resume for Research and Innovation has an optional additions section, where applicants may provide context, such as details for career breaks, or alternative career paths.



Parent/guardian responsibilities		Parents or guardians may miss the invitation to participate in this funding opportunity as applicants or assessors. Might feel disinclined or may require additional adjustments to apply to this opportunity.	A school calendar has been consulted to ensure that none of announcements, deadlines, or meetings for this opportunity coincide with school breaks. Expectations and dates for all aspects of the process are set out as far in advance as possible, allowing for preparation for participants to cover any caring responsibilities. All meetings and deadlines will be scheduled during standard working hours. We are hoping to make this a recuring call.
		UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants. We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers. We support people to work in a way that suits their personal circumstances.	text the UKRI policies that allow for flexibility to include extension of deadlines and
		This funding opportunity is open to individuals based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that parents or guardians might be excluded from the final submission list.	diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims



	Career breaks in applicant track records may	The Pioneer Awards is a unique funding
	result in the potential for unconscious bias during assessment.	opportunity that focusses more on the potential to deliver distinctive and transformative outcomes rather than on
		applicants' previous track records. Additionally, the Resume for Research and
		Innovation has an optional additions section, where applicants may provide context, such
		as details for career breaks. The partial
		randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that
		might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research
		areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
	This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors.
	Parents or guardians may require additional adjustments to enable participation in the panel meetings.	UKRI-BBSRC will pay the cost of additional caring responsibilities incurred while on UKRI-BBSRC business. UKRI-BBSRC
	, and the second	extends Pool of Experts membership period
		if parental leave is taken during their appointment. Panel meetings will take place
		on-line. Regular comfort breaks will be scheduled to the agenda. Panel members
		and BBSRC staff will be encouraged to take
		additional breaks if they need to. To allow that without impacting the flow of the
		meeting, there will be 3 introducing
		members for each application and at least 2



			BBSRC colleagues in each panel meeting. We will gather information from meeting participants about any additional requirement they may need to fully participate so we can try to offer tailored assistance on a case-by-case basis where possible. The partial randomisation process can streamline the funding process by reducing the time and effort spent on detailed evaluations of equally strong applications without compromising on quality.
Carer/parent carer responsibilities		Carers or parent carers may miss the invitation to participate in this funding opportunity as applicants or assessors. Might feel disinclined or may require additional adjustments to apply to this opportunity.	A school calendar has been consulted to ensure that none of announcements, deadlines, or meetings for this opportunity coincide with school breaks. Expectations and dates for all aspects of the process are set out as far in advance as possible, allowing for preparation for participants to cover any caring responsibilities. All meetings and deadlines will be scheduled during standard working hours. We are hoping to make this a recuring call.
		UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants. We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers. We support people to work in a way that suits their personal circumstances.	We will be communicating clearly in our call text the UKRI policies that allow for flexibility to include extension of deadlines and activation of grants. Data on carer/parent carer responsibilities will not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions.
		This funding opportunity is open to individuals based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with



	management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that carer/parent carers might be excluded from the final submission list.	the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring these processes employ good practice relating to EDI.
	Career breaks in applicant track records may result in the potential for unconscious bias during assessment.	The Pioneer Awards is a unique funding opportunity that focusses more on the potential to deliver distinctive and transformative outcomes rather than on applicants' previous track records. Additionally, the Resume for Research and Innovation has an optional additions section, where applicants may provide context, such as details for career breaks. The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
	This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors.
	Carers may require additional adjustments to enable participation in the panel meetings.	UKRI-BBSRC will pay the cost of additional caring responsibilities incurred while on



_				UKRI-BBSRC business. UKRI-BBSRC extends Pool of Experts membership period if parental leave is taken during their appointment. Panel meetings will take place on-line. Regular comfort breaks will be scheduled to the agenda. Panel members and BBSRC staff will be encouraged to take additional breaks if they need to. To allow that without impacting the flow of the meeting, there will be 3 introducing members for each application and at least 2 BBSRC colleagues in each panel meeting. We will gather information from meeting participants about any additional requirement they may need to fully participate so we can try to offer tailored assistance on a case-by-case basis where possible. The partial randomisation process can streamline the funding process by reducing the time and effort spent on detailed evaluations of equally strong applications without compromising on quality.
	Political opinion (Northern Ireland only)		This funding opportunity is open to individuals of any political opinion at lecturer level and above based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that certain people might be excluded from the final submission list.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also



		UKRI-BBSRC are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants. There is no impact on research officers and BBSRC staff.	be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and	
		This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel.	We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. DPR is a process in which applicants are also the assessors. The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.	
Carer stage		This funding opportunity is open to individuals at lecturer level and above based at organisations eligible for BBSRC funding. We are mindful that the demand management method of strongly advising ROs to prioritise competitive applications, carries the risk that certain people might be excluded from the final submission list. However, review of the Pioneer Awards pilot revealed that the scheme may have had a levelling effect on career stage, as there was no requirement for prior data and CV-based 'track record' was not a part of the assessment process. It may also have had a higher proportion of early career researchers applicants. We do not have comparable data at the application stage to understand this observation further.	We are committed to encouraging equality, diversity, and inclusion by eliminating unlawful discrimination in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. This opportunity aims to leverage the full strengths of the UK's diverse research and innovation community. We are advising ROs to consider the scope and assessment criteria of the funding opportunity and BBSRC's recommendation on each submitted registration when they are prioritising applications, while also ensuring these processes employ good practice relating to EDI.	nted [RB4]: Suggest to avoid abbreviations ossible



<u>UKRI-BBSRC</u> are committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants and panel members. We encourage applications from a diverse range of researchers.

Data on applicants' career stage will not be sourced in the UKRI Funding Service and will not be used to make funding decisions.

Career breaks in applicant track records may result in the potential for unconscious bias during assessment.

The Pioneer Awards is a unique funding opportunity that focusses more on the potential to deliver distinctive and transformative outcomes rather than on applicants' previous track records. Additionally, the Resume for Research and Innovation has an optional additions section, where applicants may provide context, such as details for career breaks.

This funding opportunity will be assessed both by DPR and an expert panel. Panel members will be invited based on their academic expertise. This might exclude some early career people that have not yet managed to establish themselves enough to be considered experts.

We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess. There is no further action that can be taken without compromising the integrity of the assessment process. The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.

There is no impact on research officers and BBSRC staff.

UK Research and Innovation

Are there general or overarching impacts on multiple groups? What actions will you take to increase positive impact, or reduce/mitigate negative impact?

UKRI is committed to embedding equality, diversity and inclusion in all we do, so that v Commented [CM5]: For general impacts and action maximise the impact of our research and innovation to involve and benefit all parts of that affect more than one protected characteristic, please use this space. For example, in-person please use this space. For example, in-person please use this space.

While designing the Pioneer Awards funding call, we considered equality, diversit inclusion during:

- 1. Advertising
 - a. Impact: Some people might miss the invitation to this funding opportunity.
 - Action: The call will be advertised widely to reach the largest possible audience.
 - Action: We have consulted interfaith and school calendars to ensure that no announcements, or deadlines for this opportunity coincide with any school breaks, religious holidays or observances.
 - Action: Expectations and dates for all aspects of the process are set out as far in act as possible, allowing for preparation for participants to cover any parental and/or responsibilities. All meetings and deadlines will be scheduled during standard working
 - Action: We are hoping to make this a recuring call.
 - Impact: Some people might be discouraged from applying to this funding opposif we fail to highlight how we intent to lift negative barriers to participation.
 - Action: The call text and all documents and materials will be in accessible formats. The BBSRC website conforms to accessibility requirements for websites, including the at adjust the text size or use a text reader on the page. If requested by applicants, we will guidance available in different formats (e.g. large print or printed onto different colour procession contact information will be shared and applicants and research officers will be encount of get in touch and inform us of any reasonable adjustments they might require so we to offer tailored assistance on a case-by-case basis where possible.
 - Action: To encourage a diverge range of applicants, we will signpost our equality, diversity, and inclusion policies and provisions, including guidance for those who have taken career breaks, or need reasonable adjustments to support them in the application process. We will highlight in the call text that a formal diagnosis is not needed.
 - Action: To support people to work in a way that suits their personal circumstances, we will be communicating clearly in our call text the UKRI policies that allow for flexibility to include extension of deadlines and activation of grants.

Commented [CM5]: For general impacts and actions that affect more than one protected characteristic, please use this space. For example, in-person meetings can present as negative barriers for disabled people, as well as those with parental or caring responsibilities. You may wish to mitigate this by allowing hybrid or fully virtual meetings to avoid access issues, travel and overnight stay requirements, and facilitate the use of personal equipment (e.g. allowing caption use).

For specific impacts and actions for individual characteristics, please use the table below.

Your assessment could encompass:

- How your activity supports UKRI or Council's <u>EDI</u> objectives
- •positive impacts. Think about how you promote equality and foster good relations between people who do or do not share a characteristic.
- negative impacts
- •no impact. Share evidence to support this
- •actions you are going to consider/put in place to remove disadvantages or minimise negative impacts. You should consider whether you need to change the activity, change how it is implemented or whether the aims could be achieved in a different way
- •outcomes and outputs. Share how you will measure these



Action: The Resume for Research and Innovation has an optional additions section, where applicants may provide context, such as details for career breaks, alternative career paths, information about a disability or long-term health condition.

2. Application process

- c. Impact: The demand management method of establishing formula-based caps per research organisation, modelled on previous submissions and success-rates to the Pioneer Awards pilot and Responsive Mode, carries some risk for discrimination for historically underrepresented groups of people, or research organisations that have not previously secured BBSRC funding.
- Action: We are currently consulting with BBSRC's evidence and evaluation team on how to produce a fair and robust demand management formula. The caps as well as the formula that they will be based on will be communicated in the call text for clarity and transparency. Every UKRI eligible research organisation will be given the opportunity to submit to this call.
- Action: We will encourage research organisations to consider opportunities to support and include in their list of submissions project leads from historically underrepresented groups in research funding. To assist with this, we will provide some upfront guidance to research organisations on factors they should consider and general good practice.
- Action: We wish to survey research organisations on their selection criteria and ho Commented [LOBU6]: Inclusion selection statement ensured fairness and inclusivity. We would also like to enquire about the challenges they faced in doing so. To do so we will be asking research organisations to submit an inclusion selection statement to BBSRC along with their final list of submissions. We do not propose capturing detailed equality, diversity, and inclusion data from research organisations, nor to directly police their submissions.
- Action: The research organisation caps will not constrain the ability of any specific person to be involved as project co-lead in applications being led by other eligible research organisations.

3. Assessment process

- d. Impact: There is the potential for conscious or unconscious bias when sitting a panel.
- Action: We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, representative of the BBSRC community they will be attempting to assess, equality, diversity, and inclusion characteristics will be considered to ensure equal representation as far as practicable. Ultimately, panel members and chairs will be invited based on their academic expertise. This might exclude some individuals that have not yet managed to establish themselves enough to be considered



experts. There is no further action that can be taken without compromising the integrity of the assessment process.

- e. Impact: Certain attendees (panel members and BBSRC staff) may miss the invitation or might find the location, duration, and/or pace of the Panel meetings to be limiting, and they may be discouraged from participating.
- Action: We have consulted interfaith and school calendars to ensure that none of announcements, deadlines, or meetings for the assessment of this opportunity coincide with any school breaks, major religious holidays or observances.
- Action: Expectations and dates for all aspects of the process are set out as far in advance as possible, allowing for preparation for participants to cover any parental and/or caring responsibilities. All meetings and deadlines will be scheduled during standard working hours.
- Action: UKRI-BBSRC will pay the cost of additional caring responsibilities incurred while on UKRI-BBSRC business.
- Action: UKRI-BBSRC extends Pool of Experts membership period if parental leave is taken during their appointment.
- Action: Panel meetings will take place on-line.
- > Action: Panel members and BBSRC staff will be encouraged to request any reasonable adjustments they might require to fully participate.
- Action: All documents and materials will be provided in accessible formats. If requested by participants, we will make publications available in different formats (e.g. large print or printed onto different colour paper).
- > Action: We will use gender neutral language, e.g. pronouns such as 'they' or 'you' instead of 'he/she', in all documents and materials, and throughout the panel meetings.
- > Action: We will encourage panel members to use gender neutral language throughout their interactions and when writing their reviews and feedback to the applicants.
- Action: We will encourage participants to provide their pronouns and the pronunciation of their names at the beginning of the panel meeting.
- ➤ Action: Regular comfort breaks will be scheduled to the agenda. Attendees will be encouraged to take additional breaks if they need to. To allow that without impacting the flow of the meeting, there will be 3 introducing members for each application and at least 2 BBSRC colleagues attending in each panel meeting.
- Action: The partial randomisation process can streamline the funding process by reducing the time and effort spent on detailed evaluations of equally strong applications without compromising on quality.



- f. Impact: There is the potential for conscious or unconscious bias during the assessment based on applicants track records (e.g. career breaks, unconventional career paths), or certain characteristics.
- Action: Everyone involved in the decision-making process has had or will receive training in Unconscious Bias and guidance on new or revised ways of working to raise awareness of conditions that may impact on their decision-making.
- Action: We are not able to source equality, diversity, and inclusion data on applicants and we will not be using such information to make funding decisions.
- > Action: To avoid potential unconscious bias, first names and titles of applicants will not be used during the assessment discussions.
- > Action: The partial randomisation process will mitigate conscious and unconscious biases that might influence assessment, especially towards certain demographics or research areas, while increasing fairness and transparency.
- Action: Pioneer Awards is a unique funding opportunity that focusses more on the potential to deliver distinctive and transformative outcomes rather than on applicants' previous track records. This is achieved by lowering perceived barriers in peer review relating to (1) risk appetite, (2) need for preliminary data, and (3) difficulty pivoting to different research areas, therefore providing an avenue to explore completely novel research ideas.
- > Action: The Resume for Research and Innovation has an optional additions section, where applicants may provide context, such as details for career breaks.
- Action: Panel members will be informed applications may include costs for reasonable adjustments and will be advised they should be accepted without comment. UKRI will ensure their eligibility.



Continued below...

Evaluation

Fi	nal Decision:	Select the relevant box	Include any explanation / justification required
1.	No negative or positive impact identified; therefore, activity will proceed .		
2.	Adapt or change the activity in a way which you think will eliminate negative impact or promote equality.		
3.	Stop the activity because the evidence shows bias or negative impact towards one or more groups.		
4.	Barriers and impact identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the activity (e.g. in extreme cases or where positive action is taken). Therefore, you are going to proceed with caution with this activity knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision.		After considering equality, diversity, and inclusion during advertising, application and assessment processes, when sharing documents and materials, as well as barriers to participation, we are going to proceed with caution with this funding opportunity knowing that no particular group is unfavourably affected by it. Due to the unique nature of the Pioneer awards, that focus more on the potential to deliver distinctive and transformative outcomes rather than on applicants' previous track records, and based on the outcomes from the review of the pilot round, we believe this opportunity has the potential to reverse some the observed negative trends of underrepresentation and have a levelling effect.
			We will endeavour to sit a diverse panel, but ultimately, panel members and chairs will be invited based on their academic



expertise. This might exclude some individuals that have not yet managed to establish themselves enough to be considered experts. There is no further action that can be taken without compromising the integrity of the assessment process.

While there is not explicit data on socioeconomic status and lecturers in the <u>HESA 2022/23 report</u>, it's understood that individuals from higher socioeconomic backgrounds are often overrepresented in higher education, including among academic staff. This is reflected in broader trends of access to education and career progression. This negative trend is not unique to this funding call, and we have no way of collecting data on the socioeconomic background of applicants and instilling any changes.

Continued below...



Review and sign off

What are the arrangements for monitoring and	We will be reviewing this Equality Impact Assessment after our consultation with E	BBSRC's
reviewing the impact of your activity?	evidence and evaluation team on how to produce a fair and robust demand management	Commented [CM7]: An EIA is a live document and
	formula to ensure that the formula produced does not impact negatively any specific people.	should regularly be reviewed throughout the life cycle of an activity
	We are prepared to review and amend this Equality Impact Assessment as soon as new are presented to us from anyone negatively affected by this funding opportunity.	Consider how you monitor the impact identified in your EIA. Put a plan in place to ensure that the impact is being monitored throughout the activity and evaluate the outcomes of any actions identified in the EIA. For
	We are going to be using the inclusion selection statements submitted by research organ to evaluate the demand management method.	example, you could: Plan regular reviews of the EIA and action plan Review the EIA as part of any closure or lessons
	We will carry out a strategic and process evaluation of the call seeking views fro members, research officers, and applicants on the: • demand management process	learned activity. •Be transparent: continue to consult with the groups affected by your activity and use new insights to review you EIA.
	 panel review process nature of the applications received suggestions for improvements 	
	We will also analyse equality, diversity, and inclusion and institutional patterns w applicant pool, as well as the science areas represented and the characteristics of funded and unfunded proposals for lessons learned.	
Next review date:	We will be reviewing this Equality Impact Assessment after our consultation with E evidence and evaluation team on how to produce a fair and robust demand manaformula (date TBA)	



Will this EIA be published? * Yes/Not required	Yes	alongside	ed [CM8]: *EIA's should be published relevant funding activities for example funding les and events.
Point of contact Signed off by (name and date):	Chrysanthi Michelaki and Laurie O'Neill Chrysanthi Michelaki, 27 June 2025		
Signed on by (name and date).	Cili ySantili Michelaki, 27 Julie 2025		

Before publishing or archiving your EIA, please remove any sensitive or confidential information such as personal identifiable data.

Once your EIA is completed or updated:

1. Upload it to the UKRI central repository via the EIA submission form

EIAs for ODA and non-ODA ISPF programmes should be emailed to: ISPF@ukri.org

Change log

Name	Date	Version	Change	Commented [CM9]: E.g. Based on input received from
Chrysanthi Michelaki	27 June 2025	1	EIA published	consultation groups at the business case stage, added actions under the gender section
		2		E.g. Based on input received from x at the announcement of opportunity stage,
		3		added/removed/edited x
	•	•		E.g. Based on input received from x at the investment

Continued below...

EDIHR@ukri.org January 2024 v1

authorisation stage, added/removed/edited x



Action plan

Use the table below to define the actions you intend to take (or have taken) to address the indications of negative impact you have identified or to promote equality. Actions should be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, Time-bound).

Action	Deadline	Owner	How will it be monitored?	What is/will be the impact/outcome?	
e.g. Update application form to include questions on additional support and reasonable adjustments	December 2023	Applications manager	Updated form published and submissions reflect individual needs	Individual needs can be addressed. Inform inclusive design of the activity	